Classical $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity: The Dark Sector and More.

Jorge Alfaro*and Pablo González†‡

April 11, 2017

arXiv:1704.02888v1 [gr-qc] 7 Apr 2017

ABSTRACT.

A gravitational field model based on two symmetric tensors, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, is presented. In this model, new matter fields, given by $\tilde{\phi}_I = \tilde{\delta}\phi_I$, are added to the original matter fields ϕ_I, motivated by an additional symmetry ($\tilde{\delta}$ symmetry). We call them $\tilde{\delta}$ matter fields. In a classical level, we find that massive particles do not follow geodesics, while trajectories of massless particles are null geodesics of an effective metric. In this paper, we analyze some cases to study the effect of the new gravitational field. Firstly, we see the Schwarzschild case, where we get a modified deflection of the light produced by the sun and analyze the perihelion precession. Secondly, we derive the Post-Newtonian limit. Then, we see the Cosmological case, where we get an accelerated expansion of the Universe without dark energy, but a Big-Rip is necessary to explain the expansion. Finally, we introduce the effect of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity in inflation in a background level.

Introduction.

In the last century, general relativity (GR) has been tested from scales larger than a millimeter to solar-system scales [1, 2]. Nevertheless, its quantization has proved to be difficult. It is non-renormalizable, which prevents its unification with the other forces of nature. Trying to make sense of quantum GR is the main physical motivation of string theories, Loop Quantum Gravity and others [3]-[10]. Some of them have been applied to cosmology [11]-[13]. However none has been accepted as the correct and final answer to the problem of quantum gravity. Moreover, recent discoveries in cosmology have revealed that most part of matter is in the form of unknown matter, dark matter [14]-[22], and that the dynamics of the expansion of the Universe is governed by a mysterious component that accelerates its expansion, the so called dark energy [23]-[25]. That is the Dark Sector. Although GR is able to accommodate the Dark Sector, its interpretation in terms of fundamental theories of elementary particles is problematic [26]. Although some candidates exist that could play the role of dark matter, none have been detected yet. Also, an alternative explanation based on the modification of the dynamics for small accelerations cannot be ruled out [27, 28].

In GR, dark energy can be explained if a small cosmological constant (Λ) is present. At early times, this constant is irrelevant, but at the later stages of the evolution of the Universe Λ will dominate the expansion, explaining the observed acceleration. However Λ is too small to be generated in quantum field theory (QFT) models, because Λ is the vacuum energy, which is usually predicted to be very large [29].

One of the most important mysteries in cosmology and cosmic structure formation is to understand the nature of dark energy in the context of a fundamental physical theory [30, 31]. In recent years there has been various proposals to explain the observed acceleration of the Universe. They include some additional fields in approaches like quintessence, chameleon, vector dark energy or massive gravity; The addition of higher order terms in the

*Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Casilla 306, Santiago, Chile. jalfaro@uc.cl
†Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Casilla 306, Santiago, Chile. pegonza@uc.cl
‡Departamento de Física, FCFM, Universidad de Chile. Blanco Encalada 2008, Santiago, Chile. pgonzalez@ing.uchile.cl

Einstein-Hilbert action, like $f(R)$ theories and Gauss-Bonnet terms and finally the introduction of extra dimensions for a modification of gravity on large scales (See [32]). Less widely explored, but equally interesting, are the search for non-trivial ultraviolet fixed points in gravity (asymptotic safety [33]) and the notion of induced gravity [34, 35]. The first possibility uses exact renormalization-group techniques [36]-[39] together with lattice and numerical techniques such as Lorentzian triangulation analysis [40]. Induced gravity proposes that gravitation is a residual force produced by other interactions. More recently, in [41, 42] a field theory model explores the emergence of geometry by the spontaneous symmetry breaking of a larger symmetry where the metric is absent. Previous work in this direction can be found in [43]-[49].

In [50], a model of gravitation that is very similar to GR is presented, but works different at the quantum level. In that paper, we considered two different points. The first is that GR is finite on shell at one loop in vacuum [3], so renormalization is not necessary at this level. The second is the $\tilde{\delta}$ gauge theories (DGT) originally presented in [51, 52], where the main properties are: (a) A new kind of field $\tilde{\phi}_I$ is introduced, different from the original set ϕ_I. (b) The classical equations of motion of ϕ_I are satisfied even in the full quantum theory. (c) The model lives at one loop. (d) The action is obtained through the extension of the original gauge symmetry of the model, introducing an extra symmetry that we call $\tilde{\delta}$ symmetry, since it is formally obtained as the variation of the original symmetry. When we apply this prescription to GR, we obtain $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. For these reasons, the original motivation was to develop the quantum properties of this model (See [50]). However, in this work, we will study the classical properties of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

We will see that the main properties of this model at the classical level are: (a) We agree with GR, far from the sources. In particular, the causal structure of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity in vacuum is the same as in general relativity. (b) The necessary quantity of dark matter could be considerably less that we expected. (c) When we study the evolution of the Universe, it predicts acceleration without a cosmological constant or additional scalar fields. The Universe ends in a Big-Rip, similar to the scenario considered in [53]-[57]. (d) The scale factor agrees with the standard cosmology at early times and shows acceleration only at late times. Therefore we expect that primordial density perturbations should not have large corrections.

In **Section 1**, we will review the $\tilde{\delta}$ theories in general and their properties. We will define the $\tilde{\delta}$ variation and the basic notation. We will introduce the new transformation produced by the $\tilde{\delta}$ variation. We focus in the general coordinate transformation because the Einstein-Hilbert action is invariant under this transformation. Finally, we define the modified action that represents $\tilde{\delta}$ theories in general, invariant under our extended transformation. In **Section 2**, we will present the $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity action that is invariant under extended general coordinate transformation. We will find the equations of motion of this action. We will see that the Einstein's equations continue to be valid and we will obtain a new equation for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. In these equations, two energy momentum tensors, $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$, are defined. Finally, we will find these tensors for perfect fluids. In **Section 3**, we will derive the equation of motion for the test particle. We distinguish the massive case, where the equation is not a geodesic, and the massless case, where we have a null geodesic with an effective metric. In **Section 4**, we will study the Schwarzschild Case. We will solve the equation of motion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ in the vacuum with appropriate boundary conditions. Then, we will use this solution to compute the deflection of light by the sun and analyze the perihelion precession. Additionally, we will look for a connection with dark matter. In **Section 5**, we will introduce the non-relativistic case, where we compute the Newtonian and Post-Newtonian limit. We will do an analysis to explain dark matter with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in a galactic scale. For this, we will present some density profiles. A relation between the ordinary density and $\tilde{\delta}$ matter density is found. A Newtonian level, $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is very similar to GR when $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is negligible. However, at different scales like those characterizing galaxies, $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is important to explain dark matter. We will see that the dark matter effect could be explained with a considerably less quantity of ordinary dark matter, explaining its extremely problematic detection. In **Section 6**, we will study the cosmological case. We obtain the accelerated expansion of the universe assuming a universe without dark energy, i.e. only having non-relativistic matter and radiation which satisfy a fluid-like equation $p = \omega\rho$. We will see that the most relevant element is the fraction between radiation and non-relativistic matter density in the present, producing a Big-Rip. A preliminary computation was done in [58], where an approximation is discussed. Later, in [59], we developed an exact solution of the equations consistent with the above assumptions. However, in both cases we assumed that we do not have $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, such that the new symmetry is broken. In this paper, we will study $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, so the

new symmetry is preserved. The preservation of this symmetry is very interesting and the presence of $\tilde{\delta}$ matter gives us a possibility to explain dark matter. However, the previous results must be seen as different versions of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. In all these cases, the solution is used to fit the supernovae data and we obtained a physical reason for the accelerated expansion of the universe within the model: the existence of massless particles. If massless particles were absent, the expansion of the Universe would be the same as in GR without a cosmological constant. In **Section 7**, we will study Inflation in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

It should be remarked that $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is not a metric model of gravity because massive particles do not move on geodesics. Only massless particles move on null geodesics of a linear combination of both tensor fields. Additionally, it is important to notice that we will work with the $\tilde{\delta}$ modification for General Relativity, based on the Einstein-Hilbert theory. From now on, we will refer to this model as $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

For notation, the Riemann Tensor is:

$$R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\beta}{}^{\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\beta}{}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}{}^{\alpha}\Gamma_{\nu\beta}{}^{\gamma} - \Gamma_{\nu\gamma}{}^{\alpha}\Gamma_{\mu\beta}{}^{\gamma}, \tag{1}$$

where the Ricci Tensor given by $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\alpha\nu}$, the Ricci scalar $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ and:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_{\nu}g_{\beta\mu} + \partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\beta} - \partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu}) \tag{2}$$

is the usual Christoffel symbol. Finally, the covariant derivative is given by:

$$D_{\nu}A_{\mu} \equiv A_{\mu;\nu} = A_{\mu,\nu} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\alpha}A_{\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

So that, it is defined with the usual metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

1 $\tilde{\delta}$ Theories.

In this paper, we will study a modified gravity model, named $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, at a classical level. But before, we need to define the $\tilde{\delta}$ theories in general and their properties. For more details, see [50].

1.1 $\tilde{\delta}$ Variation:

These theories consist in the application of a variation represented by $\tilde{\delta}$. As a variation, it will have all the properties of a usual variation such as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}(AB) &= \tilde{\delta}(A)B + A\tilde{\delta}(B) \\ \tilde{\delta}\delta A &= \delta\tilde{\delta}A \\ \tilde{\delta}(\Phi_{,\mu}) &= (\tilde{\delta}\Phi)_{,\mu}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where δ is another variation. The particular point with this variation is that, when we apply it on a field (function, tensor, etc.), it will give new elements that we define as $\tilde{\delta}$ fields, which is an entirely new independent object from the original, $\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\delta}(\Phi)$. We use the convention that a tilde tensor is equal to the $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation of the original tensor when all its indexes are covariant. That is:

$$\tilde{S}_{\mu\nu\alpha\ldots} \equiv \tilde{\delta}\left(S_{\mu\nu\alpha\ldots}\right) \tag{5}$$

and we raise and lower indexes using the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}\left(S^{\mu}{}_{\nu\alpha\ldots}\right) &= \tilde{\delta}(g^{\mu\rho}S_{\rho\nu\alpha\ldots}) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}(g^{\mu\rho})S_{\rho\nu\alpha\ldots} + g^{\mu\rho}\tilde{\delta}\left(S_{\rho\nu\alpha\ldots}\right) \\ &= -\tilde{g}^{\mu\rho}S_{\rho\nu\alpha\ldots} + \tilde{S}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\alpha\ldots}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where we used that $\delta(g^{\mu\nu}) = -\delta(g_{\alpha\beta})g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}$.

1.2 $\tilde{\delta}$ Transformation:

With the previous notation in mind, we can define how the tilde elements, given by (5), transform. In general, we can represent a transformation of a field Φ_i like:

$$\bar{\delta}\Phi_i = \Lambda_i^j(\Phi)\epsilon_j, \tag{7}$$

where ϵ_j is the parameter of the transformation. Then $\tilde{\Phi}_i = \tilde{\delta}\Phi_i$ transforms:

$$\bar{\delta}\tilde{\Phi}_i = \tilde{\Lambda}_i^j(\Phi)\epsilon_j + \Lambda_i^j(\Phi)\tilde{\epsilon}_j, \tag{8}$$

where we used that $\tilde{\delta}\bar{\delta}\Phi_i = \bar{\delta}\tilde{\delta}\Phi_i = \bar{\delta}\tilde{\Phi}_i$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_j = \tilde{\delta}\epsilon_j$ is the parameter of the new transformation. Now, we consider general coordinate transformations or diffeomorphism in its infinitesimal form:

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{\mu} &= x^{\mu} - \xi_0^{\mu}(x) \\ \bar{\delta}x^{\mu} &= -\xi_0^{\mu}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\bar{\delta}$ will be the general coordinate transformation from now on. Defining:

$$\xi_1^\mu(x) \equiv \tilde{\delta}\xi_0^\mu(x) \tag{10}$$

and using (8), we can see a few examples of how some elements transform:

I) A scalar ϕ:

$$\bar{\delta}\phi = \xi_0^\mu \phi_{,\mu} \tag{11}$$
$$\bar{\delta}\tilde{\phi} = \xi_1^\mu \phi_{,\mu} + \xi_0^\mu \tilde{\phi}_{,\mu}\,. \tag{12}$$

II) A vector V_μ:

$$\bar{\delta}V_\mu = \xi_0^\beta V_{\mu,\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\alpha V_\alpha \tag{13}$$
$$\bar{\delta}\tilde{V}_\mu = \xi_1^\beta V_{\mu,\beta} + \xi_{1,\mu}^\alpha V_\alpha + \xi_0^\beta \tilde{V}_{\mu,\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\alpha \tilde{V}_\alpha. \tag{14}$$

III) Rank two Covariant Tensor $M_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\bar{\delta}M_{\mu\nu} = \xi_0^\rho M_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \xi_{0,\nu}^\beta M_{\mu\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\beta M_{\nu\beta} \tag{15}$$
$$\bar{\delta}\tilde{M}_{\mu\nu} = \xi_1^\rho M_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \xi_{1,\nu}^\beta M_{\mu\beta} + \xi_{1,\mu}^\beta M_{\nu\beta} + \xi_0^\rho \tilde{M}_{\mu\nu,\rho} + \xi_{0,\nu}^\beta \tilde{M}_{\mu\beta} + \xi_{0,\mu}^\beta \tilde{M}_{\nu\beta}. \tag{16}$$

These new transformations are the basis of $\tilde{\delta}$ theories. Particulary, in gravitation we have a model with two fields. The first one is just the usual gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the second one is $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Then, we will have two gauge transformations associated to general coordinate transformation. We will call it extended general coordinate transformation, given by:

$$\bar{\delta}g_{\mu\nu} = \xi_{0\mu;\nu} + \xi_{0\nu;\mu} \tag{17}$$
$$\bar{\delta}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \xi_{1\mu;\nu} + \xi_{1\nu;\mu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\rho}\xi_{0,\nu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho}\xi_{0,\mu}^\rho + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu,\rho}\xi_0^\rho, \tag{18}$$

where we used (15) and (16). Now, we can introduce the $\tilde{\delta}$ theories.

1.3 Modified Action:

In the last section, the extended general coordinate transformations were defined. So, we can look for an invariant action. We start by considering a model which is based on a given action $S_0[\phi_I]$ where ϕ_I are generic fields, then we add to it a piece which is equal to a $\tilde{\delta}$ variation with respect to the fields and we let $\tilde{\delta}\phi_J = \tilde{\phi}_J$, so that we have[1]:

$$S[\phi,\tilde{\phi}] = S_0[\phi] + \kappa_2 \int d^4x \frac{\delta S_0}{\delta\phi_I(x)}[\phi]\tilde{\phi}_I(x), \tag{19}$$

with κ_2 an arbitrary constant and the indexes I can represent any kind of indexes. (19) give us the basic structure to define any modified element for $\tilde{\delta}$ type theories. In fact, this action is invariant under our extended general coordinate transformations developed in **Section 1.2**. For this, see [60].

A first important property of this action is that the classical equations of the original fields are preserved. We can see this when (19) is varied with respect to $\tilde{\phi}_I$:

[1]In all our $\tilde{\delta}$ definitions is possible to absorb κ_2 on another parameter, so it is just a control parameter.

$$\frac{\delta S_0}{\delta \phi_I(x)}[\phi] = 0. \tag{20}$$

Obviously, we have new equations when varied with respect to ϕ_I. These equations determine $\tilde{\phi}_I$ and they can be reduced to:

$$\int d^4x \frac{\delta^2 S_0}{\delta \phi_I(y) \delta \phi_J(x)}[\phi] \tilde{\phi}_J(x) = 0. \tag{21}$$

2 $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

Until now, we have looked at $\tilde{\delta}$ theories in general. We presented the invariant action for an extended general coordinate transformations, given by (19), with the classical equations of motion (20) and (21). In this section, we will present the action of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity and then we will study the equations of motion. Finally, we will analyze these equations for a perfect fluid to apply it in a few particular cases in the next sections.

2.1 Equations of Motion:

Now, we are ready to study the modifications in gravity. For this, let us consider the Einstein-Hilbert Action:

$$S_0 = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{R}{2\kappa} + L_M\right), \tag{22}$$

where $L_M = L_M(\phi_I, \partial_\mu\phi_I)$ is the lagrangian of the matter fields ϕ_I. Using (19), this action becomes:

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{R}{2\kappa} + L_M - \frac{\kappa_2}{2\kappa}\left(G^{\alpha\beta} - \kappa T^{\alpha\beta}\right)\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \kappa_2\tilde{L}_M\right), \tag{23}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}$, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\delta}g_{\mu\nu}$ and:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\left[\sqrt{-g}L_M\right] \tag{24}$$

$$\tilde{L}_M = \tilde{\phi}_I\frac{\delta L_M}{\delta\phi_I} + (\partial_\mu\tilde{\phi}_I)\frac{\delta L_M}{\delta(\partial_\mu\phi_I)}, \tag{25}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_I = \tilde{\delta}\phi_I$ are the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter fields. These elements are the main difference with [59]. From this action, we can obtain the equations of motion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. It is easy to see that the Einstein's equations are preserved. On the other side, the equation for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda}D_\rho D_\lambda\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} &+ \frac{1}{2}R^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}R\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} - R^{\mu\alpha}\tilde{g}^\nu_\alpha - R^{\nu\alpha}\tilde{g}^\mu_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^\alpha_\alpha G^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\left[\sqrt{-g}\left(T^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + 2\tilde{L}_M\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda} &= P^{((\rho\mu)(\alpha\beta))}g^{\nu\lambda} + P^{((\rho\nu)(\alpha\beta))}g^{\mu\lambda} - P^{((\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta))}g^{\rho\lambda} - P^{((\rho\lambda)(\alpha\beta))}g^{\mu\nu} \\ P^{((\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu))} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(g^{\alpha\mu}g^{\beta\nu} + g^{\alpha\nu}g^{\beta\mu} - g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $(\mu\nu)$ denotes that μ and ν are in a totally symmetric combination. An important fact to notice is that our equations are of second order in derivatives which is needed to preserve causality.

To simplify (26), it is useful to rewrite:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}}\left[\sqrt{-g}\left(T^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + 2\tilde{L}_M\right)\right] = \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} - \tilde{g}^\mu_\alpha T^{\alpha\nu} - \tilde{g}^\nu_\alpha T^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^\alpha_\alpha T^{\mu\nu}, \tag{28}$$

where we used (24), (25) and $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\delta} T_{\mu\nu}$, so $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} \tilde{\delta} T_{\alpha\beta}$. In conclusion, we can say that the equations of motion are:

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \kappa T^{\mu\nu} \tag{29}$$

$$F^{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda} D_\rho D_\lambda \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} R = \kappa \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{30}$$

Besides, it is possible to demonstrate that:

$$\tilde{\delta}\left[G_{\mu\nu}\right] = F^{(\alpha\beta)\rho\lambda}_{(\mu\nu)} D_\rho D_\lambda \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} R. \tag{31}$$

This means that $(30)_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\delta}\left[(29)_{\mu\nu}\right]$.

Finally, we have that the action (19) is invariant under (17) and (18). This means that two conservation rules are satisfied:

$$D_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{32}$$

$$D_\nu \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha\beta} D^\mu \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} T^{\mu\beta} D_\beta \tilde{g}^\alpha_\alpha + D_\beta (\tilde{g}^\beta_\alpha T^{\alpha\mu}). \tag{33}$$

It is easy to see that (33) is $\tilde{\delta}\left(D_\nu T^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0$. In conclusion, the equations of our model are (29), (30), (32) and (33).

2.2 Perfect Fluid:

To parametrize a perfect fluid, a usual action is [61]:

$$S_0 = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{2\kappa} - \mathbf{r}(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r})) - \lambda_1 (u^a u_a + 1) - \lambda_2 D_\alpha (\mathbf{r} U^\alpha) \right), \tag{34}$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ is the number of particles per unit volume in the mean frame of reference of these particles, $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})$ is the internal energy density per unit mass of the fluid, u_a is the speed of the fluid in the local frame and λ_1 and λ_2 are Lagrange multipliers that ensure the normalization of u_a and conservation of mass, respectively. Finally, we have that $U_\alpha = e^a_\alpha u_a$, where e^a_α is the Vierbein. From this action, we can see that the independent variables are $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathbf{r}$, u_a, λ_1 and λ_2, where e^a_α depend of $g_{\mu\nu}$.

So, our modified action is:

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{R}{2\kappa} - \mathbf{r}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r})\right) - \lambda_1 \left(u^a u_a + 1\right) - \lambda_2 D_\alpha \left(\mathbf{r} U^\alpha\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\kappa_2}{2\kappa} \left(G^{\alpha\beta} - \kappa T^{\alpha\beta}\right) \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \kappa_2 \tilde{L}_M \right) \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_M = & -\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right) - \tilde{\lambda}_1 \left(u^a u_a + 1\right) - 2\lambda_1 u^a \tilde{u}_a - \tilde{\lambda}_2 D_\alpha \left(\mathbf{r} U^\alpha\right) \\ & - \lambda_2 D_\alpha \left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}} U^\alpha + \mathbf{r} U^\alpha_T\right) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

with $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \tilde{\delta}\mathbf{r}$, $\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r})$, $\tilde{u}_a = \tilde{\delta} u_a$, $U^\alpha_T = e^{a\alpha} \tilde{u}_a$, $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \tilde{\delta}\lambda_1$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2 = \tilde{\delta}\lambda_2$ are new Lagrange multipliers. The energy-momentum tensor is:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\left(\mathbf{r}(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r})) + \lambda_1 (u^a u_a + 1) - \lambda_{2,\alpha} \mathbf{r} U^\alpha\right) g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2,\alpha} \mathbf{r} \left(\delta^\alpha_\nu U_\mu + \delta^\alpha_\mu U_\nu\right) \tag{37}$$

and we have used:

$$\frac{\delta e^a_\alpha}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\delta^\mu_\alpha e^{a\nu} + \delta^\nu_\alpha e^{a\mu}\right). \tag{38}$$

Besides, we can compute that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{4}\lambda_{2,\beta}\mathbf{r}\left(\delta^\beta_\nu U^\alpha \tilde{g}_{\mu\alpha} + \delta^\beta_\mu U^\alpha \tilde{g}_{\nu\alpha} + 2g_{\mu\nu}U^\alpha \tilde{g}^\beta_\alpha\right) \\
&\quad -\left(\mathbf{r}(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})) + \lambda_1(u^a u_a + 1) - \lambda_{2,\rho}\mathbf{r}U^\rho\right)\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}_{2,\alpha}\mathbf{r}\left(\delta^\alpha_\nu U_\mu + \delta^\alpha_\mu U_\nu\right) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2,\alpha}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(\delta^\alpha_\nu U_\mu + \delta^\alpha_\mu U_\nu\right) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2,\alpha}\mathbf{r}\left(\delta^\alpha_\nu U^T_\mu + \delta^\alpha_\mu U^T_\nu\right) \\
&\quad -\left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})) + \tilde{\lambda}_1(u^a u_a + 1) + 2\lambda_1 u^a \tilde{u}_a - \tilde{\lambda}_{2,\alpha}\mathbf{r}U^\alpha - \lambda_{2,\alpha}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}U^\alpha + \mathbf{r}U^\alpha_T)\right) g_{\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Then, we have a modified action with ten independent variables: $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathbf{r}$, u_a, λ_1, λ_2, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$, $\tilde{u}_a$, $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2$. So, we must solve (29) and (30) using (37) and (39) to obtain $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Fortunately, we can use the equations of motion for $\mathbf{r}$, u_a, λ_1, λ_2, $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$, $\tilde{u}_a$, $\tilde{\lambda}_1$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2$. These equations can be reduced to:

$$u^a u_a + 1 = 0 \tag{40}$$

$$D_\alpha(\mathbf{r}U^\alpha) = 0 \tag{41}$$

$$2\lambda_1 u^a - \mathbf{r}e^{a\alpha}\lambda_{2,\alpha} = 0 \tag{42}$$

$$1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) - U^\alpha\lambda_{2,\alpha} = 0 \tag{43}$$

$$u^a\tilde{u}_a = 0 \tag{44}$$

$$D_\alpha\left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}U^\alpha + \mathbf{r}U^\alpha_T - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}U_\beta + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\tilde{g}^\beta_\beta U^\alpha\right) = 0 \tag{45}$$

$$2\tilde{\lambda}_1 u^a + 2\lambda_1\tilde{u}^a - e^{a\alpha}\left(\mathbf{r}\tilde{\lambda}_{2,\alpha} + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}\lambda_{2,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^\beta_\alpha\mathbf{r}\lambda_{2,\beta}\right) = 0 \tag{46}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right) - U^\alpha\tilde{\lambda}_{2,\alpha} - U^\alpha_T\lambda_{2,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}U_\beta\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{2,\alpha} = 0. \tag{47}$$

Now, we can use these equations to simplify (37) and (39), eliminating the Lagrange multipliers rewriting (42), (43), (46) and (47) as:

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right) \tag{48}$$

$$\lambda_{2,\mu} = -\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)U_\mu \tag{49}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + 3\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}^2\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right) \tag{50}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{2,\mu} = -\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)U_\mu - \left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)\left(U^T_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^\beta_\mu U_\beta\right). \tag{51}$$

Then, the energy-momentum tensors are:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{r}^2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})g_{\mu\nu} + \mathbf{r}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)U_\mu U_\nu \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathbf{r}^2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \mathbf{r}\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + 3\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}^2\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)U_\mu U_\nu \\
&\quad +\mathbf{r}\left(1 + \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(U_\nu U^\alpha\tilde{g}_{\mu\alpha} + U_\mu U^\alpha\tilde{g}_{\nu\alpha}\right) + U^T_\mu U_\nu + U_\mu U^T_\nu\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and the equations that survive are:

$$U^\alpha U_\alpha + 1 = 0 \tag{54}$$

$$D_\alpha(\mathbf{r}U^\alpha) = 0 \tag{55}$$

$$\left(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)U^\alpha D_\alpha U_\mu + \left(\delta^\alpha_\mu + U^\alpha U_\mu\right)\left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)\partial_\alpha \mathbf{r} = 0 \tag{56}$$

$$U^\alpha U^T_\alpha = 0 \tag{57}$$

$$D_\alpha\left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}U^\alpha + \mathbf{r}U^\alpha_T - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}U_\beta + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}\tilde{g}^\beta_\beta U^\alpha\right) = 0 \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)U^\alpha D_\alpha U_\mu + \left(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)\left(U^\alpha_T - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}U_\beta\right)D_\alpha U_\mu \\
&+\left(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)U^\alpha D_\alpha\left(U^T_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{\mu\beta}U^\beta\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})\right)U^\alpha U^\beta D_\mu \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \\
&+\left(\left(U^\alpha_T - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}U_\beta\right)U_\mu + U^\alpha\left(U^T_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{\mu\beta}U^\beta\right)\right)\left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)\partial_\alpha\mathbf{r} \\
&+\left(\delta^\alpha_\mu + U^\alpha U_\mu\right)\left(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\left(3\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon'''(\mathbf{r})\right)\partial_\alpha\mathbf{r} + \left(2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})+\mathbf{r}\varepsilon''(\mathbf{r})\right)\partial_\alpha\tilde{\mathbf{r}}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

These equations are related to (32) and (33). So, they are a complete system of equations. Finally, from (52) we can identify that $\rho = \mathbf{r}\left(1+\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})\right)$ and $p(\rho) = \mathbf{r}^2\varepsilon'(\mathbf{r})$. Therefore, the final expressions of the energy-momentum tensors are:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = p(\rho)g_{\mu\nu} + \left(\rho + p(\rho)\right)U_\mu U_\nu \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} &= p(\rho)\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial\rho}(\rho)\tilde{\rho}g_{\mu\nu} + \left(\tilde{\rho} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial\rho}(\rho)\tilde{\rho}\right)U_\mu U_\nu \\
&\quad + \left(\rho + p(\rho)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(U_\nu U^\alpha \tilde{g}_{\mu\alpha} + U_\mu U^\alpha \tilde{g}_{\nu\alpha}\right) + U^T_\mu U_\nu + U_\mu U^T_\nu\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Now, we can use (60) and (61) to solve (29), (30), (32) and (33) for a perfect fluid. In this paper, we will use a perfect fluid for the Non-Relativistic and cosmological cases.

3 Test Particle.

In the last section, we found the equations of motion for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. These equations give us the geometry in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, solving $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. However, we need to know how this geometry affect the trajectory of a test particle. For this, in this section, we will study the test particle action separately for massive and massless particles. We will see the geometry in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity produce different effects in both cases.

3.1 Massive Particles:

In GR, the action for a test particle is given by:

$$S_0[\dot{x}, g] = -m \int dt \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}, \tag{62}$$

with $\dot{x}^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{dt}$. This action is invariant under reparametrizations, $t' = t - \epsilon(t)$. In the infinitesimal form is:

$$\delta_R x^\mu \quad = \quad \dot{x}^\mu \epsilon. \tag{63}$$

In $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, the action is always modified using (19). So, applying it to (62), the new test particle action is:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\dot{x}, y, g, \tilde{g}] &= -m \int dt \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2 m}{2} \int dt \left(\frac{\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + g_{\mu\nu,\rho} y^\rho \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + 2 g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{y}^\nu}{\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}} \right) \\ &= m \int dt \left(\frac{\left(g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2}{2}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\right)\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \frac{\kappa_2}{2}\left(2 g_{\mu\nu}\dot{y}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + g_{\mu\nu,\rho} y^\rho \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu\right)}{\sqrt{-g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where we have defined $y^\mu = \tilde{\delta} x^\mu$ and we used that $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, so $\tilde{\delta} g_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu,\rho} y^\rho$. Naturally, this action is invariant under reparametrization transformations, given by (63), plus $\tilde{\delta}$ reparametrization transformations:

$$\delta_R y^\mu \quad = \quad \dot{y}^\mu \epsilon + \dot{x}^\mu \tilde{\epsilon}, \tag{65}$$

just like it is shown in (8). On the other side, the presence of y^μ suggests additional coordinates, but our model just live in four dimensions, given by x^μ. Actually, y^μ can be gauged away using the extra symmetry corresponding to $\tilde{\epsilon}$ in equation (65), imposing the gauge condition $2 g_{\mu\nu}\dot{y}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + g_{\mu\nu,\rho} y^\rho \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = 0$. However, the extended general coordinate transformations as well as the usual reparametrizations, given by (63), are still preserved. Finally, (64) is reduced to:

$$S[\dot{x}, g, \tilde{g}] = m \int dt \left(\frac{\left(g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2}{2}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\right)\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu}{\sqrt{-g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta}} \right). \tag{66}$$

This action for a test particle in a gravitational field is the starting point for the physical interpretation of this model. Either you accept it as a postulate or you follow the derivation of it from equation (62). The derivation uses the prescription of $\tilde{\delta}$ model, given in **Section 1**.

Now, the trajectory of massive test particles is given by the equation of motion of x^μ. This equation say us that $g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = cte$, just like GR. Now, if we choose t equal to the proper time (See **Appendix A**), then $g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = -1$ and the equation of motion is reduced in this case to:

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}\ddot{x}^\nu + \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta = \frac{\kappa_2}{4}\tilde{K}_{,\mu}, \tag{67}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{g}_{\mu\alpha,\beta}+\hat{g}_{\beta\mu,\alpha}-\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta,\mu})\\ \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} &= \left(1+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\tilde{K}\right)g_{\alpha\beta}+\kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}\\ \tilde{K} &= \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\alpha\dot{x}^\beta.\end{aligned}$$

The equation (67) is a second order equation, but it is not a classical geodesic, because we have additional terms and an effective metric can not be defined. Moreover, the equation of motion is independent of the mass of the particle, so all particles will fall with the same acceleration.

Now, we will analyze the massless case.

3.2 Massless Particles:

The massless case is particulary important in this work, because we need to study photons trajectories to define distances. Unfortunately, (62) is useless for massless particles, because it is null when $m=0$. To solve this problem, it is a common practice to start from the action [62]:

$$S_0[\dot{x},g,v]=\frac{1}{2}\int dt\left(vm^2-v^{-1}g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu\right), \tag{68}$$

where v is an auxiliary field. From (68), we can obtain the equation of motion for v:

$$v=-\frac{\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}}{m}. \tag{69}$$

If we substitute (69) in (68), we recover (62). This means (68) is equivalent to (62), but additionally includes the massless case.

In our case, a suitable action, similar to (68), is:

$$S[\dot{x},g,\tilde{g},v]=\int dt\left(m^2v-\frac{(g_{\mu\nu}+\kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu})\,\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}{4v}+\frac{m^2v^3}{4g_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\alpha\dot{x}^\beta}\left(m^2+\kappa_2v^{-2}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu\right)\right). \tag{70}$$

In fact, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity the equation of v is still (69), and if we use it in (70), we obtain the massive test particle action given by (66). But now, we can study the massless case.

If we evaluate $m=0$ in (68) and (70), we can compare GR and $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity respectively. They are:

$$S_0^{(m=0)}[\dot{x},g,v] = -\frac{1}{2}\int dtv^{-1}g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu \tag{71}$$

$$S^{(m=0)}[\dot{x},g,\tilde{g},v] = -\frac{1}{4}\int dtv^{-1}\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu, \tag{72}$$

with $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}=g_{\mu\nu}+\kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. In both cases, the equation of motion for v implies that a massless particle move in a null-geodesic. In the usual case we have $g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu=0$. However, in our model the null-geodesic is given by $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu=0$, so the trajectory obey a geometry defined by an specifical combination of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}=g_{\mu\nu}+\kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. The equation of motion for the path of a test massless particle is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}\ddot{x}^{\nu} + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^{\alpha}\dot{x}^{\beta} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

with:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\alpha,\beta} + \mathbf{g}_{\beta\mu,\alpha} - \mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta,\mu}).$$

In the **Appendix A**, the proper time defined for massive particles and the effective metric of massless particles are used to study the cosmological geometry. With this, we will define the effective scale factor to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe without a cosmological constant. This calculation will be elaborated in **Section 6**. Additionally, in **Appendix A** is elaborated a first look to the black hole in a classical level.

To summarize, in the last sections, we obtained the equations of motion of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, given by (29), (30), (32) and (33), and we know how to solve them for a perfect fluid using (60) and (61). Then, we obtained how a test particle moves when it is coupled to $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, given by (67) or (73) if we have a massive or massless particle respectively. In the next sections we will solve different cases of geometry. In first place, we will analyze the Schwarzschild Case, where we will compute the deflection of light by the sun and analyze the perihelion precession. Additionally, we will look for a connection with dark matter. Then, we will study the Non-Relativistic Case, where we will do an analysis to explain dark matter with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in a galactic scale. Finally, we will elaborate in detail the Cosmological Case, where we will obtain the accelerated expansion of the universe assuming a universe without dark energy and we will introduce the effect of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity in inflation.

4 Schwarzschild Case.

In this section, we will study $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with a Schwarzschild metric. First, we will compute $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ with the correct boundary conditions fixing the Harmonic gauge (See **Appendix B**) and solving the differential equations, then we will find the light deflection by gravitational lensing and study the perihelion precession.

4.1 Schwarzschild Harmonic Gauge:

In this case, a convenient harmonic coordinate system is (t,X_1,X_2,X_3) with:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= (r-\mu)\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) \\ X_2 &= (r-\mu)\sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) \\ X_3 &= (r-\mu)\cos(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where (t,r,θ,ϕ) are the standard coordinates and $\mu = GM$. In this coordinate system the metric is:

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -A(r)c^2dt^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r-\mu}\right)^2 d\mathbf{X}^2 + \left(\frac{B(r)}{(r-\mu)^2} - \frac{r^2}{(r-\mu)^4}\right)(\mathbf{X}\cdot d\mathbf{X})^2, \tag{74}$$

where $r = \mu + \sqrt{X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2}$. In the same form, we can write:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -\tilde{A}(r)c^2dt^2 + \tilde{F}(r)\left(\frac{r}{r-\mu}\right)^2 d\mathbf{X}^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{B}(r)}{(r-\mu)^2} - \frac{\tilde{F}(r)r^2}{(r-\mu)^4}\right)(\mathbf{X}\cdot d\mathbf{X})^2 \tag{75}$$

It is not difficult to see that this system is not convenient to work, so we will fix the gauge in the harmonic coordinate and then we will return to the standard coordinate system, given by (77) and (78). Now, (74) satisfy (244), but (245) say us that (75) needs the condition:

$$r^2(r-2\mu)\tilde{A}''(r) + 4r(r-2\mu)\tilde{A}'(r) - 4\mu\tilde{A}(r) + r(r-2\mu)(r-\mu)\tilde{F}''(r) + 4(r-\mu)^2\tilde{F}'(r) = 0, \tag{76}$$

where $' = \frac{d}{dr}$ and we used (79-81).

4.2 Schwarzschild solution:

Firstly, we need to find the boundary condition. In this case, $g_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \to 0$ for $r \to \infty$[2]. Now, we can solve the equations of motion for the Schwarzschild metric. In this case, the metric is:

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -A(r)c^2dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2\right). \tag{77}$$

For $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, we can use a similar expression:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -\tilde{A}(r)c^2dt^2 + \tilde{B}(r)dr^2 + \tilde{F}(r)r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2\right). \tag{78}$$

To simplify the problem, we will solve the equations in empty space, this means the region where $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The solutions of our equations of motion (29) and (30) are:

[2]In [50], we suggested that the boundary condition is $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu}$ for $r \to \infty$ (see eq. (48) in the reference), but recently we notice that the correct option is the suggested condition in this paper, because $g_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \tilde{\delta}g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{\delta}\eta_{\mu\nu} = 0$. For this reason, it is natural to use $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \to 0$ for $r \to \infty$. Besides, this condition is necessary to obtain the correct Newtonian Limit in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity (See Section 5).

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r} \tag{79}$$

$$B(r) = \frac{1}{1-\frac{2\mu}{r}} \tag{80}$$

$$\tilde{B}(r) = \frac{r^2(r-2\mu)\tilde{A}'(r) - 2\mu r\tilde{A}(r) + r(r-2\mu)(r-\mu)\tilde{F}'(r) + r(r-2\mu)\tilde{F}(r)}{(r-2\mu)^2} \tag{81}$$

and survive the equation:

$$r\tilde{A}''(r) + 2\tilde{A}'(r) - \mu\tilde{F}''(r) = 0, \tag{82}$$

where $' = \frac{d}{dr}$, $\mu = GM$, G is the Newton constant and M is the mass of the gravitational source. In (79) and (80) we imposed $A(\infty) = B(\infty) = 1$, to obtain $g_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu}$ when $r \to \infty$. On the other hand, we want that $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \to 0$ for $r \to \infty$. However, we need to fix the gauge for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ to obtain an additional equation for $\tilde{A}(r)$ and $\tilde{F}(r)$. For this, see **Section 4.1**. Using (76) and (82), we obtain:

$$\tilde{F}(r) = \tilde{F}_1 - \int_\infty^r \frac{u^3\left(u-2\mu\right)\tilde{A}''(u) + 2u\left(u+\mu\right)\left(u-2\mu\right)\tilde{A}'(u) - 4\mu\tilde{A}(u)}{4\mu\left(u-\mu\right)^2}du \tag{83}$$

and the third order differential equation:

$$r^3\left(r-\mu\right)\left(r-2\mu\right)\tilde{A}'''(r) + 2r^2\left(4r^2-11\mu r+8\mu^2\right)\tilde{A}''(r) + 2r\left(5r^2-15\mu r+14\mu^2\right)\tilde{A}'(r) + 8\mu^2\tilde{A}(r) = 0. \tag{84}$$

The solution of this equation is given by:

$$\tilde{A}(r) = \frac{\tilde{A}_1\mu(r-\mu)}{r^2} + \frac{\tilde{A}_2(r^2-2\mu^2)}{r^2} + \tilde{A}_3\mu\frac{2\mu+(r-\mu)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{r^2}, \tag{85}$$

where $\tilde{A}_1$, $\tilde{A}_2$ and $\tilde{A}_3$ are integration constants. By the boundary conditions, we have to impose the conditions $\tilde{A}(\infty) = \tilde{B}(\infty) = \tilde{F}(\infty) = 0$. These conditions just means that $\tilde{A}_2 = 0$ and $\tilde{F}_1 = 0$. Then, the solutions are ($\tilde{A}_1 = -2a_0$ and $\tilde{A}_3 = -a_1$):

$$\tilde{A}(r) = -\frac{2a_0\mu(r-\mu)}{r^2} - a_1\mu\frac{2\mu+(r-\mu)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{r^2} \tag{86}$$

$$\tilde{F}(r) = \frac{2a_0\mu}{r} - a_1\frac{2\mu+(r-\mu)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{r} \tag{87}$$

$$\tilde{B}(r) = \frac{2a_0\mu(r-\mu)}{(r-2\mu)^2} - a_1\frac{2\mu(r-2\mu)+(r^2-3\mu r+\mu^2)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{(r-2\mu)^2}. \tag{88}$$

Now, we must remember that these solutions correspond to the region without matter. This means, in a object with radius R, that $r > R$. Generally, the Newtonian approximation can be used, so $R \gg 2\mu$. However the logarithmic solution could be important in black holes, where the Newtonian approximation is not valid. A first look is presented in **Appendix A**, but we will develop it in detail in a future work. So, considering the leading order in $\frac{\mu}{r}$, the solution is reduced to:

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r} \tag{89}$$

$$B(r) = 1 + \frac{2\mu}{r} + O\left(\left(\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)^2\right) \tag{90}$$

$$\tilde{A}(r) = -\frac{2a_0\mu}{r} + O\left(\left(\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)^2\right) \tag{91}$$

$$\tilde{F}(r) = \frac{2a_0\mu}{r} + O\left(\left(\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)^2\right) \tag{92}$$

$$\tilde{B}(r) = \frac{2a_0\mu}{r} + O\left(\left(\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)^2\right). \tag{93}$$

We will use these expressions in the next section to describe the Gravitational Lensing effect.

4.3 Gravitational Lensing:

To describe this phenomenon, we need the null geodesic. In our case, this is given by (73). To solve these equations, we will consider a coordinate system where $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ such that the trajectory is given by **Figure 1**. For more details see [63].

The geodesic equations, given by (73), are complicated, but with some work, we may reduce it to:

$$\frac{dt}{du} = \frac{E}{A(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)} \tag{94}$$

$$\left(\frac{dr}{du}\right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{\left(A(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)\right)\left(B(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)\right)} - \frac{J^2}{r^2\left(B(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)\right)\left(1 + \kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)\right)} \tag{95}$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{du} = \frac{J}{r^2\left(1 + \kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)\right)}, \tag{96}$$

where u is the trajectory parameter such that $x^\mu = x^\mu(u)$ and E and J are constants of motion. From (94), we can see that $t \to Eu$ when $r \to \infty$. Additionally, from (95), we can define a *effective potential* given by:

$$V_{eff}(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{\left(A(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)\right)\left(B(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)\right)} + \frac{(J/E)^2}{r^2\left(B(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)\right)\left(1 + \kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)\right)}, \tag{97}$$

such that:

$$v_r^2(r) \equiv \frac{1}{E^2}\left(\frac{dr}{du}\right)^2 = 1 - V_{eff}(r). \tag{98}$$

Using (79-81) and (86-88), we can see that $V_{eff}(\infty) = 0$ and obviously $v_r(\infty) = 1$. To obtain a plot of $V_{eff}(r)$, we need to fix $\kappa_2 a_0$ and $\kappa_2 a_1$.

Finally, from **Figure 1**, we can see that we need $\phi(r)$ to study the gravitational lensing. For this, we use (95) and (96) to obtain:



Figure 1: Trajectory by gravitational lensing. R is the radius of the star, r_0 is the minimal distance to the star, b is the impact parameter, ϕ_∞ is the incident direction and $\Delta\phi$ is the deflection of light.

$$\begin{aligned}\left(r^2\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr}(r)\right)\right)^{-2} &= \frac{1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)}{B(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)}\left(\frac{E^2\left(1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)\right)}{J^2\left(A(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)\right)}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\\ \left(y^2\left(\frac{d\phi}{dy}(y)\right)\right)^{-2} &= \frac{1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r_0y)}{B(r_0y)+\kappa_2\tilde{B}(r_0y)}\left(\frac{\left(1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r_0y)\right)\left(A(r_0)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r_0)\right)}{\left(1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r_0)\right)\left(A(r_0y)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r_0y)\right)}-\frac{1}{y^2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

where $y=\frac{r}{r_0}\geq 1$ is a normalized radius with r_0 the minimal radius, given by $\frac{dr}{du}|_{r=r_0}=0$. So:

$$\left(\frac{J}{E}\right)^2 = r_0^2\frac{1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r_0)}{A(r_0)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r_0)}.$$

Then, the deflection of light is obtained solving (99). However, the approximation $r_0>>2\mu$ is used to obtain a explicit result of $\Delta\phi$ when the matter source is not dense enough. So, when $r_0>>2\mu$, we obtain:

$$\left(\frac{d\phi}{dy}(y)\right)^{-2} \simeq y^2\left(y^2-1\right)\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{y}-\frac{\left(1+2\kappa_2a_0\right)y\epsilon}{1+y}\right)+\kappa_2 O\left(\epsilon^2\right), \tag{100}$$

where $\epsilon=\frac{2\mu}{r_0}$. From this result, we notice that (100) is exact in GR to first order on ϵ. In $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity we have higher orders terms. Now, to obtain the deflection of light, we develop (100) such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\phi}{dy}(y) &\simeq \pm\frac{1}{y\sqrt{y^2-1}}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{2y}+\frac{\left(1+2\kappa_2a_0\right)y\epsilon}{2\left(1+y\right)}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^2\right)\\ \phi(y)-\phi_\infty &\simeq \pm\int_y^\infty\frac{dy'}{y'\sqrt{y'^2-1}}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{2y'}+\frac{\left(1+2\kappa_2a_0\right)y'\epsilon}{2\left(1+y'\right)}\right)+O\left(\epsilon^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

We want to describe a complete trajectory, so the photon start from $\phi(y=\infty)$ up to $\phi(y=1)$ and then back again to $\phi(y=\infty)$ (See **Figure 1**). Besides, if the trajectory were a straight line, this would equal just π. All of this means that the deflection of light is:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\phi &= 2|\phi(1)-\phi_\infty|-\pi \\ &\simeq 2\left|\int_1^\infty \frac{dy'}{y'\sqrt{y'^2-1}}\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{2y'}+\frac{(1+2\kappa_2 a_0)\,y'\epsilon}{2\,(1+y')}\right)\right|-\pi+O\left(\epsilon^2\right) \\ &\simeq 2(1+\kappa_2 a_0)\epsilon+O\left(\epsilon^2\right) \\ &\simeq \frac{4\mu(1+\kappa_2 a_0)}{r_0}+O\left(\left(\frac{2\mu}{r_0}\right)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

With GR, we know that $\Delta\phi=\frac{4\mu}{r_0}$. So, in our modified gravity, we have an additional term given by $\frac{4\mu\kappa_2 a_0}{r_0}$. On the other side, we have an experimental value $\Delta\phi_{Exp}=1.761''\pm 0.016''$ for the sun [64] and it is very close to the prediction of GR. This means that, to satisfy the experimental value with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, it is necessary that our additional term provide a very small correction, such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{4\mu\kappa_2 a_0}{r_0}\right| &= 1.761''|\kappa_2 a_0|<0.016'' \\ |\kappa_2 a_0| &< 0.009086. \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

This result represent an additional mass, $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, given by $M_{add}=\kappa_2 a_0 M$, where M is the solar mass. (103) tells us that $\tilde{\delta}$ matter must be less than 1% close to the Sun. In [65] was estimated observationally that the dark matter mass in the sphere within Saturns orbit should be less than $1.7\times 10^{-10}\%$, so dark matter could be explained with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. On the other side, we know that the dark matter is more relevant in a galactic scale. We will consider this situation in **Section 5.4**.

4.4 Perihelion Precession:

In the last section, we used the null geodesic to compute the deflection of light. However, if we want to study the trajectory of a massive object, we need (67). These equations, for $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$, are given by:

$$\left(\frac{dr}{du}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{B(r)}\left(\left(A(r)-\frac{J^2}{r^2\left(\frac{E}{A(r)}+\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{A(r)}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\right)^2}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)^2-1\right) \tag{104}$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{du} = \frac{J\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)}{r^2\left(\frac{E}{A(r)}+\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{A(r)}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\right)}, \tag{105}$$

where $\frac{dt}{du}$ obey the fifth order equation:

$$\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\left(\frac{2\tilde{A}(r)}{A(r)}-\frac{\tilde{B}(r)}{B(r)}+\left(A(r)\left(\frac{\tilde{B}(r)}{B(r)}-\frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{A(r)}\right)+\frac{J^2\left(\tilde{F}(r)-\frac{\tilde{B}(r)}{B(r)}\right)}{r^2\left(\frac{E}{A(r)}+\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r)}{A(r)}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\right)^2}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)^2\right)\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)=\frac{E}{A(r)} \tag{106}$$

These equations are very difficult to solve, but in the approximation $r_0>>2\mu$ with r_0 the minimal radius, we have:

$$\frac{1}{E}\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right) \simeq 1+\left(1-\kappa_2 a_0\left(j^2-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\frac{\epsilon}{y} \tag{107}$$
$$+\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2 a_0}{4y^2}\left(j^2\left(4y^3-10y^2+1\right)+y^2\left(4y-1\right)\right)+\frac{\kappa_2^2 a_0^2}{4}\left(2j^2+1\right)\left(4y+6j^2-3\right)\right)\frac{\epsilon^2}{y^2}+O\left(\epsilon^3\right)$$

$$\left(\frac{dr}{du}\right)^2 \simeq j^2\left(1-\frac{1}{y^2}\right)\left[1-\frac{1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+3\right)}{y}\epsilon-\frac{y\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+2j^2\right)\right)}{j^2\left(1+y\right)}\epsilon\right. \tag{108}$$
$$+\frac{\kappa_2 a_0}{4}\left(\frac{2j^2}{y^4}-\frac{4j^2-\kappa_2 a_0\left(28j^4+44j^2+19\right)}{y^2}+\frac{8\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)}{y}\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\frac{2+6j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+12j^2+4j^4\right)}{j^2}+\frac{4\left(1+3j^2+2j^4+\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+2j^2\right)^2\right)}{j^2\left(1+y\right)}\right)\epsilon^2+O\left(\epsilon^3\right)\right]$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{du} \simeq \frac{j}{r_0 y^2}\left[1-\kappa_2 a_0\left(j^2+\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\right. \tag{109}$$
$$\left.-\kappa_2 a_0\left(\frac{5}{4}-y-\frac{j^2\left(2y-1\right)\left(2y^2-2y-1\right)}{4y^2}-\kappa_2 a_0\left(3j^4+2j^2\left(2+y\right)+y+\frac{5}{4}\right)\right)\frac{\epsilon^2}{y^2}+O\left(\epsilon^3\right)\right],$$

where $y=\frac{r}{r_0}$, $\epsilon=\frac{2\mu}{r_0}$, $j=\frac{J}{r_0}$ and we use that $\frac{dr}{du}|_{r=r_0}=0$, so:

$$E^2 \simeq 1+j^2-\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon+\frac{\kappa_2 a_0}{2}\left(1+3j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^4+6j^2+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\epsilon^2+\kappa_2 O(\epsilon^3).$$

From (108-109), we obtain:

$$\left(\frac{d\phi}{dy}(y)\right)^2 \simeq y^{-2}\left(y^2-1\right)^{-1}\left[1+\left(1+\frac{y^2\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)}{j^2\left(1+y\right)}\right)\frac{\epsilon}{y}\right. \tag{110}$$
$$+\left(\frac{1+\frac{\kappa_2 a_0}{2}}{y^2}+\frac{\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)^2}{j^4\left(y+1\right)^2}-\frac{2\left(1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(j^2+1\right)\right)\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)}{j^4\left(y+1\right)}\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+\frac{4\left(j^2+1\right)^2+2\kappa_2 a_0\left(5j^4+11j^2+4\right)-\kappa_2^2 a_0^2\left(4j^6-4j^4-15j^2-4\right)}{4j^4}\right)\epsilon^2+O\left(\epsilon^3\right)\right].$$

However, it is useful to rewrite (110) using:

$$\lambda=\frac{\lambda_0}{y},\ \text{with}\ \lambda_0 \simeq \frac{2j^2}{\epsilon\left(1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)-2\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon\right)}.$$

That is:

$$\left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\phi}(\phi)\right)^2 \simeq \bar{e}-1+2\lambda(\phi)-\left(1-\frac{\kappa_2 a_0\left(6-\kappa_2 a_0\left(4j^4-4j^2-7\right)\right)\varepsilon}{2\left(1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)}\right)\lambda^2(\phi)+\varepsilon\lambda^3(\phi)+\frac{\kappa_2 a_0\varepsilon^2}{2}\lambda^4(\phi)+\kappa_2 O\left(\varepsilon^3\right) \tag{111}$$

where:

$$\varepsilon \simeq \frac{\left[1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)-2\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon\right]\epsilon^2}{2j^2} \tag{112}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{e} &\simeq 1+\frac{j^2\left[4j^2-4\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2+6j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(4j^4+12j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon^2\right]}{\left(1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)-2\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon\right)^2\epsilon^2} \\ &\simeq 1+\frac{2\left(E^2-1\right)}{\left(1+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)-2\kappa_2 a_0\left(1+j^2+\kappa_2 a_0\left(2j^2+1\right)\right)\epsilon\right)\varepsilon}\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

is the orbital eccentricity. In GR, (111) is exact at third order on $\lambda(\phi)$, and the cubic term explain the mercury's perihelion precession. In $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity we have high order corrections too, but they are practically suppressed when $r_0 >> 2\mu$. For example, we have a very small correction in the fourth order term in (111). Any other correction is smaller. On the other side, (113) can be interpreted as an energy redefinition, such that the *new energy* is given by $\tilde{E}^2 \sim 1+\frac{\left(E^2-1\right)}{(1+\kappa_2 a_0(2j^2+1))}$, but this modification must be small to satisfy (103). Then, the orbital movements are equal to GR. However, other corrections appears when r_0 is smaller. In this case, we must use the exact equations, given by (104-106), but they are very complicated. However, we can try to solve them in a particular radius, for example r_0. In this case we have that $\frac{dr}{du}=0$, so the equations (104) and (106) can be reduced to:

$$\left(A(r_0)-\frac{j^2}{\left(\frac{E}{A(r_0)}+\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r_0)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\Big|_{r_0}\right)^2}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)^2\Big|_{r_0} = 1 \tag{114}$$

$$\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\left(\frac{2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}-\tilde{F}(r_0)+A(r_0)\left(\tilde{F}(r_0)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)^2\Big|_{r_0}\right)\right)\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\Big|_{r_0} = \frac{E}{A(r_0)}, \tag{115}$$

where we used $j=\frac{J}{r_0}$. Now we have a fourth order equation and a third order equation in $\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\big|_{r_0}$ respectively. We know that $\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\to E$ when $r\to\infty$, just like GR. Besides, we expect that the result is reduced to GR when $\kappa_2=0$. With this in mind, we obtain from (114-115) that the physics solution is:

$$\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\Big|_{r_0} = \frac{E}{A(r_0)}\Sigma(r_0) \tag{116}$$

and the minimum radius is given by:

$$\Sigma(r_0)\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\left(\frac{2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}-\tilde{F}(r_0)+\frac{E^2}{A(r_0)}\left(\tilde{F}(r_0)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)\Sigma^2(r_0)\right)\right)=1 \tag{117}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(r_0) = &\frac{2+\kappa_2\left(\frac{2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}-\tilde{F}(r_0)\right)}{\frac{E^2}{A(r_0)}-j^2-\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r_0)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)\left(2+\frac{\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)} \\ &-\sqrt{\left(\frac{2+\kappa_2\left(\frac{2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}-\tilde{F}(r_0)\right)}{\frac{E^2}{A(r_0)}-j^2-\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r_0)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)\left(2+\frac{\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)}\right)^2-\frac{3}{\frac{E^2}{A(r_0)}-j^2-\kappa_2\left(\tilde{F}(r_0)-\frac{\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)\left(2+\frac{\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r_0)}{A(r_0)}\right)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we can see that these equations are reduced to GR when $\kappa_2=0$. That is:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\Big|_{r_0} &= \frac{E}{A(r_0)} \\ &= \frac{E}{1-\frac{2\mu}{r_0}} \\ E^2 &= A(r_0)\left(1+j^2\right) \rightarrow r_0 = \frac{2\mu\left(1+j^2\right)}{1+j^2-E^2}.\end{aligned}$$

So, we can obtain r_0 solving numerically the equation (117). Then, we can calculate $\left(\frac{dt}{du}\right)\big|_{r_0}$ with (116). r_0 is an important element to understand the trajectory of the particle.

In conclusion, in the last two sections we saw that $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity does not have big differences with GR when the trajectory is far away from the Schwarzschild radius, $r_s = 2\mu$, on the condition that $\kappa_2 a_0$ is small enough (See equation (103)). This is always true for stars, planets and any low-density object. Therefore, to observe important effects by $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, we need to study a particle moving very close to a black hole for example (See **Appendix A** for a first look).

In the next section we will study the Non-Relativistic case and we will use it with some density profile to see if we can explain dark matter with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity.

5 Non-Relativistic case.

In this section, we will consider the Newtonian and Post-Newtonian limit to study new effects on $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. We expect a weak deviation of GR at solar system scale, but we want to see in a galactic scale if dark matter could be explained with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter.

5.1 Newtonian limit:

With the equations of motion of **Section 2.1**, we can study the Newtonian approximation. To express this approximation, we must use a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ given by:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -(1+2\phi(x,y,z)\epsilon^2)c^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-2\phi(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-2\phi(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-2\phi(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{118}$$

where $\phi(x,y,z)$ is the gravitational potential and $\epsilon \sim \frac{v}{c}$ is the perturbative parameter. We have used that $g_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu}$ for $r \to \infty$, so $\phi \to 0$. Besides $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \to 0$, therefore $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is given by:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\tilde{\phi}(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 c^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\tilde{\phi}(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\tilde{\phi}(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\tilde{\phi}(x,y,z)\epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{119}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}(x,y,z)$ is a new gravitational potential and $\tilde{\phi} \to 0$ too. To solve our equations, we use that $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ are given by (60) and (61) with $p(\rho) = 0$ and $U_\mu = (\epsilon^{-1}c, 0, 0, 0)$. So, the equations (29) and (30) are reduced to:

$$U_\mu^T = 0 \tag{120}$$

$$\partial^2\phi = \frac{\kappa}{2}\rho \tag{121}$$

$$\partial^2\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\tilde{\rho}, \tag{122}$$

where $\partial^2 = \partial_i\partial_i$ with $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $\kappa \sim O(\epsilon^2)$. Besides, (32) and (33) only say us that ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$ are t-independent. Therefore, we do not have a relation between ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$. We will see, in the next sections the Post-Newtonian limit will provide a relation.

5.2 Post-Newtonian limit:

If we introduce one order more for the Newtonian limit, the metric will be given by [63]:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = & -\left(1+2\phi\epsilon^2+2\left(\phi^2+\psi\right)\epsilon^4\right)\left(\frac{cdt}{\epsilon}\right)^2 + \left(1-2\phi\epsilon^2-2\psi\epsilon^4\right)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right) \\ & +2\epsilon^3\left(\chi_1 dx+\chi_2 dy+\chi_3 dz\right)\left(\frac{cdt}{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon^4\left(\xi_{11}dx^2+\xi_{22}dy^2+\xi_{33}dz^2\right. \\ & \left.+2\xi_{12}dxdy+2\xi_{13}dxdz+2\xi_{23}dydz\right). \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

We can see the Newtonian limit represented by ϕ at order ϵ^2 in the components of $g_{\mu\nu}$. Now, in the Post-Newtonian limit, we need ten additional functions to represent ten degrees of freedom on the metric. Then, (123) is a complete expression for $g_{\mu\nu}$. In the same way, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ will be:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} &= -2\left(\tilde{\phi}\epsilon^2+\left(2\phi\tilde{\phi}+\tilde{\psi}\right)\epsilon^4\right)\left(\frac{cdt}{\epsilon}\right)^2-2\left(\tilde{\phi}\epsilon^2+\tilde{\psi}\epsilon^4\right)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right)\\ &+2\epsilon^3\left(\tilde{\chi}_1dx+\tilde{\chi}_2dy+\tilde{\chi}_3dz\right)\left(\frac{cdt}{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon^4\left(\tilde{\xi}_{11}dx^2+\tilde{\xi}_{22}dy^2+\tilde{\xi}_{33}dz^2\right.\\ &\left.+2\tilde{\xi}_{12}dxdy+2\tilde{\xi}_{13}dxdz+2\tilde{\xi}_{23}dydz\right). \qquad (124)\end{aligned}$$

All functions in (123) and (124) depend of (t,x,y,z), but $\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sim\epsilon$. For this reason, we use $ct\to\frac{ct}{\epsilon}$ to obtain the equations. (123) and (124) are the more general expression for covariant tensor of rank two. Therefore, we can choose the functions such that the harmonic gauge is obeyed. For this, we need to impose (244) and (245) in the Post-Newtonian approximation. So, the harmonic gauge is reduced to:

$$\begin{aligned}4\dot{\phi}+\partial_i\chi_i &= 0 \qquad (125)\\ 2\phi\partial_i\phi-\dot{\chi}_i-\frac{1}{2}\partial_i\xi_{jj}+\partial_j\xi_{ij} &= 0 \qquad (126)\\ 4\dot{\tilde{\phi}}+\partial_i\tilde{\chi}_i &= 0 \qquad (127)\\ 2\phi\partial_i\tilde{\phi}+2\tilde{\phi}\partial_i\phi-\dot{\tilde{\chi}}_i-\frac{1}{2}\partial_i\tilde{\xi}_{jj}+\partial_j\tilde{\xi}_{ij} &= 0. \qquad (128)\end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have a perfect fluid. This means that the energy-momentum tensors are given by (60) and (61) with:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \rho^{(0)}+\epsilon^2\rho^{(2)} \qquad (129)\\ \tilde{\rho} &= \tilde{\rho}^{(0)}+\epsilon^2\tilde{\rho}^{(2)} \qquad (130)\\ p(\rho) &= \epsilon^2p^{(2)}(\rho) \qquad (131)\\ U_{\mu} &= \left(c\left(\frac{1+\epsilon^2\left(\phi+\frac{1}{2}U_k^{(1)}U_k^{(1)}\right)}{\epsilon}\right),\epsilon U_i^{(1)}\right) \qquad (132)\\ U_{\mu}^T &= \left(c\epsilon U_k^{T(1)}U_k^{(1)},\epsilon U_i^{T(1)}\right). \qquad (133)\end{aligned}$$

With all these, the equations (29) and (30) are reduced to:

$$\partial^2\phi = \frac{\kappa}{2}\rho^{(0)} \tag{134}$$

$$\partial^2\chi_i = -2\kappa U_i^{(1)}\rho^{(0)} \tag{135}$$

$$\partial^2\psi = \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(2\left(U_k^{(1)}U_k^{(1)} - \phi\right)\rho^{(0)} + \rho^{(2)} + 3p^{(2)}(\rho)\right) + \ddot{\phi} \tag{136}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2\xi_{ij} = & -2\kappa U_i^{(1)}U_j^{(1)}\rho^{(0)} - 4(\partial_i\phi)(\partial_j\phi) + 2\kappa\left(\left(U_k^{(1)}U_k^{(1)} + \phi\right)\rho^{(0)} + 2p^{(2)}(\rho)\right)\delta_{ij} \\ & +4(\partial_k\phi)(\partial_k\phi)\delta_{ij}\end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

$$\partial^2\tilde{\phi} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\tilde{\rho}^{(0)} \tag{138}$$

$$\partial^2\tilde{\chi}_i = -2\kappa\left(U_i^{T(1)}\rho^{(0)} + U_i^{(1)}\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}\right) \tag{139}$$

$$\partial^2\tilde{\psi} = \kappa\left(\left(2U_k^{(1)}U_k^{T(1)} - \tilde{\phi}\right)\rho^{(0)} + \left(U_k^{(1)}U_k^{(1)} - \phi + \frac{3}{2}p'^{(2)}(\rho)\right)\tilde{\rho}^{(0)} + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^{(2)}}{2}\right) + \ddot{\tilde{\phi}} \tag{140}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2\tilde{\xi}_{ij} = & -2\kappa\left(\left(U_i^{T(1)}U_j^{(1)} + U_i^{(1)}U_j^{T(1)}\right)\rho^{(0)} + U_i^{(1)}U_j^{(1)}\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}\right) - 4(\partial_i\tilde{\phi})(\partial_j\phi) - 4(\partial_i\phi)(\partial_j\tilde{\phi}) \\ & +2\kappa\left(\left(2U_k^{(1)}U_k^{T(1)} + \tilde{\phi}\right)\rho^{(0)} + \left(U_k^{(1)}U_k^{(1)} + \phi + 2p'^{(2)}(\rho)\right)\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}\right)\delta_{ij} \\ & +8(\partial_k\phi)(\partial_k\tilde{\phi})\delta_{ij},\end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

where $p'^{(2)}(\rho) = \frac{\partial p^{(2)}}{\partial\rho}(\rho)$ and we used $\kappa \to \epsilon^2\kappa$. We can see that the equations (134) and (138) correspond to (121) and (122) respectively.

On the other side, we have the equations (32) and (33), but they are null with the gauge equations (125-128). However, it is useful to write them in terms of $\rho^{(0)}$, $\rho^{(2)}$, $\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\rho}^{(2)}$ and $p^{(2)}$ in the case when $U_i^{(1)} = U_i^{T(1)} = 0$ and to be sure that we are considering the correct perturbative order. Then, it is possible to prove that the conservation equations can be reduced to:

$$\left(1 + 2\epsilon^2\phi(r)\right)\left(\frac{\partial p^{(2)}}{\partial r}(r)\right) + \left(\rho^{(0)}(r) + \epsilon^2 p^{(2)}(r)\right)\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}(r)\right) = 0 \tag{142}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\left(1 + 2\epsilon^2\phi(r)\right)^{-1}\left(\tilde{\phi}(r) - \tilde{\rho}^{(0)}(r)\frac{\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}(r)\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial r}(r)\right)}\right)\right) = 0. \tag{143}$$

From (142) we can obtain $p^{(2)}(r)$ and (143) say us that:

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}(r) = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial r}(r)\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}(r)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(r) - C\left(1 + 2\epsilon^2\phi(r)\right)\right), \tag{144}$$

where C is an integration constant. We preserve $2\epsilon^2\phi(r)$ in the last term of the right side because the order of C is unknown. So, the equations for spherical symmetry in the Newtonian limit are reduced to:

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^2\left(\frac{\partial\phi(r)}{\partial r}\right)\right) = \frac{\kappa}{2}\rho^{(0)}(r) \tag{145}$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^2\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}(r)}{\partial r}\right)\right) = \frac{\kappa}{2}\frac{\left(\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial r}(r)\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}(r)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(r) - C\left(1 + 2\epsilon^2\phi(r)\right)\right). \tag{146}$$

Then, we can obtain $\phi(r)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(r)$ if we know $\rho^{(0)}(r)$, that is the complete Newtonian limit.

That is delta gravity predicts that the delta matter density and the potential $\tilde{\phi}$ are determined by the background of normal matter. Recently [66, 67] correlations between the radial acceleration traced by rotation curves and that predicted by the observed distribution of baryons has been found. This suggests that the baryons are the source of the gravitational potential. These phenomenological correlations enforces our view that delta matter is DM.

Now, we can ask ourselves if it is possible to explain dark matter with this result. For this, we will need to study the trajectory of a particle in the next section.

5.3 Trajectory of a Particle:

If we have a massive particle, the acceleration is given by (67). In the Post-Newtonian limit, we obtain that [63]:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{d^2\vec{x}}{dt^2} &= -\epsilon^2\nabla\left(\phi_N+\left(2\phi_N^2+\psi_N\right)\epsilon^2\right)\\ &\quad+\epsilon^4\left(3\vec{v}\dot{\phi}_N+4\vec{v}\left(\vec{v}\cdot\nabla\phi_N\right)-v^2\nabla\phi_N-\dot{\vec{\chi}}_N+\left(\vec{v}\times\nabla\times\vec{\chi}_N\right)\right)\\ &\quad+\frac{\epsilon^4k_2^2}{2}\nabla\tilde{\phi}^2+O\left(\epsilon^6\right),\end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

where $\vec{v}=\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}$, $\phi_N=\phi+\kappa_2\tilde{\phi}$ and analogous expressions for the others fields. From (147), we can deduce a couple of things. Firstly, we can see that $\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{d^2\vec{x}}{dt^2}=-\epsilon^2\nabla\phi_N$ in the Newtonian limit, so ϕ_N is the effective potential. Secondly, the acceleration is similar to the usual case if we replace $\phi\to\phi_N$, with the exception of the last term in (147). If we analyze the case with spherical symmetry far away from matter, we can see from (146) that $\tilde{\phi}^2\sim r^{-2}$. This means that this term is $\sim -r^{-3}$, therefore is an attractive contribution.

We have said that ϕ_N is the effective potential in the Newtonian limit. This means that the effective density is $\rho_{eff}=\rho^{(0)}+\kappa_2\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}$. In spherical symmetry, that is:

$$\rho_{eff}(r)=\rho^{(0)}(r)+\kappa_2\frac{\left(\frac{\partial\rho^{(0)}}{\partial r}(r)\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial r}(r)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(r)-C\left(1+2\epsilon^2\phi(r)\right)\right). \tag{148}$$

Therefore, we have an additional mass given by the second term in (148), that could be identified as dark matter. To verify this, we need to use some density profile for any galaxy, exponential for example, and then obtain the effective density. Next we will study (148) and the equations of motion of ϕ and $\tilde{\phi}$ to see if $\tilde{\delta}$ matter can explain dark matter effect.

5.4 Density Profiles:

To study the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter effects we must analyze the equations (144-146). On the other side, in section 4 we saw that $\tilde{\delta}$ matter must be negligible in the solar system scale, but important in galactic scale. Now we will study these equations with some density profile. In the first place, we will see the spherically homogeneous density like a first approximation for a planetary or stellar distribution. Then, we will study the exponential profile and finally we will use the Einasto and Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profiles to describe galaxies distributions. We define a normalized radius x such that $r=Rx$, then our equations are:

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2\left(\frac{\partial\phi(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right)=\frac{\kappa R^2}{2}\rho(x) \tag{149}$$

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right)=\frac{\kappa R^2}{2}\frac{\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x}(x)\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(x)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(x)-C\left(1+2\epsilon^2\phi(x)\right)\right). \tag{150}$$

With these equations we can define the ordinary mass and tilde mass. That is:

$$\begin{aligned} m(x) &= 4\pi R^3 \int_0^\infty dx x^2 \rho(x) \\ &= \frac{8\pi R}{\kappa} x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}(x) &= 4\pi R^3 \int_0^\infty dx x^2 \tilde{\rho}(x) \\ &= \frac{8\pi R}{\kappa} x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

such that the effective mass is $M(x) = m(x) + \kappa_2 \tilde{m}(x)$. Finally, from (147), we have that the rotation velocity is:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{v_{rot}(x)}{c} \right)^2 &= \epsilon^2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\phi(x) + \kappa_2 \tilde{\phi}(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon^2 \kappa M(x)}{8\pi R x}, \end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

where we used that $\frac{d^2 \vec{x}}{dt^2} = -\frac{v_{rot}^2(r)}{r} \hat{\theta}$ in this case.

5.4.1 Spherically Homogeneous Profile:

We can think, in a first approximation, that planets or stars are spheres with a constant density. Therefore, in this case we have $\rho(x) = \rho_0 \Theta(1-x)$. So, (149) and (150) are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} \right) \right) &= \frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0}{2} \Theta(1-x) \\ \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x} \right) \right) &= -\frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0}{2} \frac{\delta(1-x)}{\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x) \right)} \left(\tilde{\phi}(x) - C \left(1 + 2\epsilon^2 \phi(x) \right) \right) \\ \tilde{\rho}(x) &= -\rho_0 \frac{\delta(1-x)}{\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x) \right)} \left(\tilde{\phi}(x) - C \left(1 + 2\epsilon^2 \phi(x) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The solution of these equations are:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0}{12} \left(x^2 - 3 \right) & x \leq 1 \\ -\frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0}{6x} & x > 1 \end{cases} \tag{154}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} C \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2 \kappa R^2 \rho_0}{3} \right) & x \leq 1 \\ \frac{3}{2x} C \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2 \kappa R^2 \rho_0}{3} \right) & x > 1 \end{cases}. \tag{155}$$

Besides, the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter density is:

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = -\frac{3C}{\kappa R^2} \delta(x-1). \tag{156}$$



Figure 2: Exponential Profile Calculation. **(a)** Ordinary and $\tilde{\delta}$ mass vs normalize radius, with $m_0 = 8\pi R^3\rho_0$. The initial conditions have been chosen such that $\tilde{m}(x) > 0$ with $C > 0$. From the center, more $\tilde{\delta}$ mass is accumulated, but the behavior of both terms become similar when we distance of the center. **(b)** $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)}$ (in units of C) vs normalize radius. We verify the last conclusion. In the beginning the relation is almost linear, $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)} \sim x$, and in the end it is like a constant, $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)} \to 3C$. **(c)** Rotation velocity vs normalize radius for different values of C. The Black-Dashed line corresponds to GR case, so the C value indicates the contribution of $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. The similar behavior of both elements and the additional mass from $\tilde{\delta}$ matter produce an amplifying effect in the rotation velocity. In these calculations we have used $\kappa\rho_0 R^2 = 10^{-4}$.

On the other side, the acceleration is given by (147), so the force is $\vec{F} = -\epsilon^2 \frac{mc^2}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\phi(x) + \kappa_2\tilde{\phi}(x)\right)$. We expect that $\vec{F}$ must be continuous, therefore we need $C = 0$ for this. This connects with Schwarzschild Case in **Section 4**, where $|C|$ must be very small. In conclusion, if the ordinary matter has a spherically homogeneous distribution, we do not have $\tilde{\delta}$ matter.

5.4.2 Exponential Profile:

We will study this profile to develop an acceptable galaxy distribution. That is $\rho(x) = \rho_0 e^{-x}$. So, (149) and (150) are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2\left(\frac{\partial\phi(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) &= \frac{\kappa R^2\rho_0 e^{-x}}{2} \\
\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) &= -\frac{\kappa R^2\rho_0 e^{-x}}{2\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(x)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(x) - C\left(1 + 2\epsilon^2\phi(x)\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We can solve $\phi(x)$, but the equation of $\tilde{\phi}(x)$ is complicated. That is:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0 \left((x+2)\, e^{-x} - 2\right)}{2x} \tag{157}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) = -\frac{x^3 e^{-x}\left(x\tilde{\phi}(x) - C\left(x - \epsilon^2 \kappa R^2 \rho_0 \left(2 - (x+2)\, e^{-x}\right)\right)\right)}{\left(2 - (x^2+2x+2)\, e^{-x}\right)}. \tag{158}$$

Now we can solve this equation numerically and find $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$. First we must analyze the initial conditions. We can do it studying the behavior of $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$, given by (151) and (152), for $x \ll 1$ because they are related to $\left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x}\right)$ respectively. In the first place, we can see that $m(x) \sim \frac{4\pi R^3 \rho_0}{3} x^3$. On the other side, a good behavior of $\tilde{m}(x)$ needs that $\tilde{m}(x) \sim \epsilon^2 C \pi R^3 \rho_0 x^4$, otherwise $\tilde{m}(x)$ could be negative. Previously we said that the C order is unknown, but the initial conditions say us that $\tilde{m}(x) \ll m(x)$, unless $\epsilon^2 C \sim O(1)$. We can see this in **Figure 2a**, where we present $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$ after we solve (158). Additionally, we represent the relation between $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$ in **Figure 2b**. These plots say us that $\tilde{\delta}$ mass is accumulated more quickly than ordinary mass, but the behavior of both masses become similar when x increase. Actually, in the beginning the relation is practically linear, $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)} \sim x$, and in the end it is like a constant, $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)} \to 3C$. In conclusion, we can say that ordinary matter leads to $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in the beginning ($x \ll 1$), but rapidly the second one increase until it is completely dominant. Then, ordinary and $\tilde{\delta}$ matter evolve in a similar way. This means that $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is concentrate outside of the galactic nucleus.

In **Figure 2c** we show the rotation velocity in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\kappa_2 C = \left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$ and GR ($\kappa_2 C = 0$). Clearly, the similar behavior of both elements and the additional mass from $\tilde{\delta}$ matter produce an amplifying effect in the rotation velocity. This means that a minimal quantity of ordinary matter could explain the rotation velocity in a galaxy, because of the extra $\tilde{\delta}$ matter produced.

5.4.3 Einasto Profile:

The Einasto profile is a spherically symmetric distribution used to describe many types of real system, like galaxies and dark matter halos (see for instance [68, 69]). Many simulations of galaxies have been done using this profile and they obtained values of α given by $0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ [70]. It is represented by a logarithmic power-law:

$$\frac{d\ln(\rho(r))}{d\ln r} \propto -r^{\alpha}.$$

Then, we have $\rho(x) = \rho_0 e^{-x^{\alpha}}$. So, it is a most general case of the exponential profile. Evaluating in (149) and (150), we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) = \frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0 e^{-x^{\alpha}}}{2} \tag{159}$$

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2 \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) = -\frac{\kappa R^2 \rho_0 \alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x^{\alpha}}}{2\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(x) - C\left(1 + 2\epsilon^2 \phi(x)\right)\right). \tag{160}$$

In the same form to the exponential profile, we can solve (159) and (160) to find $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$[3]. So, using (151) and (152), we can see that the appropriate initial conditions are given by $m(x) \sim \frac{4\pi R^3 \rho_0}{3} x^3$ and $\tilde{m}(x) \sim \frac{4\pi R^3 \rho_0 \epsilon^2 C \alpha}{(3+\alpha)} x^{\alpha+3}$. Besides, we also have that $\epsilon^2 C \sim O(1)$ to obtain $\tilde{m}(x) \sim m(x)$. Finally, we can verify that this result is reduced to exponential case with $\alpha = 1$. Then, we obtain $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$ solving (159) and (160)

[3]The only constant that we can not fix is $\phi_0 = \phi(0)$. Fortunately, this constant is irrelevant to find $m(x)$. This is true for NFW profile too.



Figure 3: Einasto Profile Calculation for $\alpha = \{0.7, 0.4, 0.1\}$. **(a)** Ordinary and $\tilde{\delta}$ mass vs normalize radius, with $m_0 = 8\pi R^3 \rho_0$. The initial conditions have been chosen such that $\tilde{m}(x) > 0$ with $C > 0$. From the center, more $\tilde{\delta}$ mass is accumulated, just like the exponential case. **(b)** $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)}$ (in units of C) vs normalize radius. In fact, we have that $\tilde{m}(x)$ increase more quickly that $m(x)$ and it is faster when α is smaller. On the other side, in the end it is like a constant too, with $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)} \to 3C$, but for smaller α the behavior is more like a logarithmic. **(c)** Rotation velocity vs normalize radius for different values of C. The Black-Dashed line corresponds to GR case, so the C value indicates the contribution of $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. In this case we have an amplifying effect in the rotation velocity too, such that if C is bigger, we have higher velocities. In these calculations we have used $\kappa\rho_0 R^2 = 4\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \times 10^{-4} e^{\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}}$.



Figure 4: Navarro-Frenk-White Profile Calculation for $\gamma = \{1, 1.2, 1.4\}$. **(a)** Ordinary and $\tilde{\delta}$ mass vs normalize radius, with $m_0 = 8\pi R^3 \rho_0$. The initial conditions have been chosen such that $\tilde{m}(x) > 0$ with $C > 0$. We have that more $\tilde{\delta}$ mass is accumulated from the center, just like the exponential and Einasto cases. **(b)** $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)}$ (in units of C) vs normalize radius. Comparing with the others profiles, we have that $\tilde{m}(x)$ increase more quickly that $m(x)$ too. However, in this case, the relation between both masses is practically logarithmic. **(c)** Rotation velocity vs normalize radius for different values of C. The Black-Dashed line correspond to GR case and the others C values indicate the contribution of $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. Here we verify the result in the others profiles, $\tilde{\delta}$ matter amplify the rotation velocity, such that if C is bigger, we have higher velocities. In these calculations we have used $\kappa\rho_0 R^2 = 10^{-4}\frac{(3-\gamma)^{3-\gamma}}{4(2-\gamma)^{2-\gamma}}$.

and using (151) and (152). They are represented in **Figure 3a** for $\alpha = \{0.7, 0.4, 0.1\}$, and we represent the relation between $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$ in **Figure 3b**. In the same way of the exponential case, more $\tilde{\delta}$ mass is accumulated far from the center. Actually, we have that $\tilde{m}(x)$ increase more quickly that $m(x)$ and it is faster when α is smaller. However, in the end it is like a constant with $\frac{\tilde{m}(x)}{m(x)} \to 3C$ just for α close to 1. For smaller α's the behavior is more like a logarithmic. This result give us the same conclusion that exponential profile. The ordinary matter leads to $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in the beginning, but rapidly the second one increase until it is completely dominant. So, $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is concentrate outside of the galactic nucleus in this case too.

Finally, in **Figure 3c** we present the rotation velocity for $\kappa_2 C = \left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$ and GR, where $\kappa_2 C = 0$. Just like we expected, the rotation velocity is amplified by the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter effect, such that if C is bigger, we have higher velocities.

5.4.4 Navarro-Frenk-White Profile:

The Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile is another kind of distribution to halo of dark matter (see for instance [71]-[73]), given by $\rho(x) = \frac{\rho_0}{x^\gamma (x+1)^{3-\gamma}}$. The dark matter-only simulations usually use $\gamma = 1$, however baryonic

matter effects are expected, so we must use $1 \leq \gamma \leq 1.4$ [73]. Now, in this case, (149) and (150) are:

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2\left(\frac{\partial\phi(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) = \frac{\kappa R^2\rho_0}{2x^\gamma\left(x+1\right)^{3-\gamma}} \tag{161}$$

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^2\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{\phi}(x)}{\partial x}\right)\right) = -\frac{\kappa R^2\rho_0\left(\gamma+3x\right)}{2x^{\gamma+1}\left(x+1\right)^{4-\gamma}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(x)\right)}\left(\tilde{\phi}(x)-C\left(1+2\epsilon^2\phi(x)\right)\right). \tag{162}$$

We can also use (151) and (152) to obtain the appropriate initial conditions. They are $m(x) \sim \frac{4\pi R^3\rho_0}{3-\gamma}x^{3-\gamma}$ and $\tilde{m}(x) \sim \frac{4\pi\epsilon^2 CR^3\rho_0\gamma}{3-\gamma}x^{3-\gamma}$. This means that $\epsilon^2 C \sim O(1)$ too. Now we can solve (161) and (162). We present $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$ in the **Figure 4a** for $\gamma = \{1, 1.2, 1.4\}$, and the relation between $m(x)$ and $\tilde{m}(x)$ in **Figure 4b**. Just like the exponential and Einasto cases, we have that more $\tilde{\delta}$ mass is accumulated far from the center. Comparing with the others profiles, we have that $\tilde{m}(x)$ increase more quickly that $m(x)$ too. However, in this case, the relation between both masses is practically logarithmic. In fact, $\gamma = 1$ correspond to just-dark-matter distribution. So, the others cases with $\gamma > 1$ considerate a baryonic matter effect [73]. From all these, we obtain the same conclusion. The ordinary matter leads to $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in the beginning, but rapidly the second one increase until it is completely dominant. So, $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is concentrate outside of the galactic nucleus in this case too.

Additionally, we present the rotation velocity in **Figure 4c** for $\kappa_2 C = \left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$ and GR, where $\kappa_2 C = 0$. In all our profiles, the rotation velocity is amplified by the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter effect, such that if C is bigger, we have higher velocities.

From exponential, Einasto and NFW profiles, we saw that the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter produce an amplified effect to the ordinary matter, affecting the rotation velocity too. Unfortunately, the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is principally concentrated outside of the galactic nucleus, as opposed to expected dark matter distribution. Besides, an amplified effect can not explain dark matter. However it could be partially explained.

A more complete calculation could be to use a specific profile for dark matter, a Einasto Profile with a small α for example, and other profile for baryonic matter, with $\alpha \sim 1$, then obtain the associated $\tilde{\delta}$ matter's and finally simulate data of some galaxies. (In [69] was used a multi-component Einasto profile).

Now, if we compare the spherically homogeneous profile with the other ones to model a galaxy, we note that the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter effect is only produced by the distribution of the ordinary matter, the scale is not so important. So, if the dark matter is principally explained by $\tilde{\delta}$ dark matter, then this effect is only important when the distribution of ordinary matter is strongly variable, just like a globular clusters (GCs) for example. This means that we can find some of these GCs where the dark matter fraction, $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in this context, is less than baryon fraction. Evidences of that have been found in [74, 75], where an enormous quantity of dark matter is not necessary in the formation of GCs. This computation will be developed in a future work.

Another phenomenon that we can study with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is the anomalous secular increase of the eccentricity of the orbit of the Moon [76]. This effect has not been explained yet, so it is a window for new physics. This computation will be also developed in a future work.

In this section we studied the rotation velocity of galaxies to explain the dark matter effect with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity and we obtained that $\tilde{\delta}$ matter produce an amplify effect using standard density profiles. Now, in the next section we will study the cosmological case to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe without dark energy. With this we will include all the dark sector.

6 Cosmological Case.

In this section we will study photons emitted from a supernova using $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe without dark energy. For this, we have to use the correct cosmological geometry to represent an homogeneous and isotropic universe, given by the FLRW metric. In the harmonic coordinate system it is:

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -T^2(u)c^2du^2 + R^2(u)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right),$$

such that $T(u) = \frac{dt}{du}(u)$ and t is the cosmological time. In the same form, $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is given by:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -F_b(u)T^2(u)c^2du^2 + F_a(u)R^2(u)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right).$$

Now, if we impose (244) and (245) from **Appendix B** to fix the harmonic gauge, we obtain that $T(u) = T_0R^3(u)$ and $F_b(u) = 3(F_a(u)+T_1)$, where T_0 and T_1 are gauge constants. We use $T_0 = 1$ and $T_1 = 0$ to fix the gauge completely. So, with these conditions, the system (u,x,y,z) correspond to harmonic coordinate. Now, we can return to the usual system where $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ are given by:

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -c^2dt^2 + R^2(t)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right) \tag{163}$$

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -3F_a(t)c^2dt^2 + F_a(t)R^2(t)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right). \tag{164}$$

From **Appendix A**, we know that the proper time is measured only using the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, but the space geometry is determined by the modified null-geodesic, given by (73), where both tensor fields, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, are necessaries and an isotropic and homogeneous universe is represented by (163) and (164). These considerations are fundamental to explain the expansion of the universe with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. Now, with all these, we can study a photon trajectory from a supernova and solve the equations of motion.

6.1 Photon Trajectory and Luminosity Distance:

When a photon emitted from a supernova travels to the Earth, the Universe is expanding. This means that the photon is affected by the cosmological Doppler effect. For this, we must use a null geodesic, given by (73), in a radial trajectory from r_1 to $r = 0$. Therefore, using (163) and (164), we obtain:

$$-(1+3\kappa_2F_a(t))c^2dt^2 + R^2(t)(1+\kappa_2F_a(t))dr^2 = 0.$$

In GR, we have that $cdt = -R(t)dr$. On the other side, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity we can define the effective scale factor (See **Appendix A**):

$$\tilde{R}(t) = R(t)\sqrt{\frac{1+\kappa_2F_a(t)}{1+3\kappa_2F_a(t)}} \tag{165}$$

such that $cdt = -\tilde{R}(t)dr$. Now, if we integrate this expression from r_1 to 0, we obtain:

$$r_1 = c\int_{t_1}^{t_0}\frac{dt}{\tilde{R}(t)}, \tag{166}$$

where t_1 and t_0 are the emission and reception times. If a second wave crest is emitted at $t = t_1 + \Delta t_1$ from $r = r_1$, it will reach $r = 0$ at $t = t_0 + \Delta t_0$, so:

$$r_1 = c\int_{t_1+\Delta t_1}^{t_0+\Delta t_0} \frac{dt}{\tilde{R}(t)}. \tag{167}$$

Therefore, if Δt_0 and Δt_1 are small, which is appropriate for light waves, we get:

$$\frac{\Delta t_0}{\Delta t_1} = \frac{\tilde{R}(t_0)}{\tilde{R}(t_1)} \tag{168}$$

or:

$$\frac{\Delta \nu_1}{\Delta \nu_0} = \frac{\tilde{R}(t_0)}{\tilde{R}(t_1)}, \tag{169}$$

where ν_0 is the light frequency detected at $r = 0$, corresponding to a source emission at frequency ν_1. So, the redshift is given by:

$$1 + z(t_1) = \frac{\tilde{R}\,(t_0)}{\tilde{R}(t_1)}. \tag{170}$$

We see that $\tilde{R}\,(t)$ replaces the usual scale factor $R(t)$ to compute z. This means that we need to redefine the luminosity distance too. For this, let us consider a mirror of radius b that is receiving light from our distant source at r_1. The photons that reach the mirror are within a cone of half-angle ϵ with origin at the source.

Let us compute ϵ. The path of the light rays is given by $\vec{r}(\rho) = \rho\hat{n} + \vec{r}_1$, where $\rho > 0$ is a parameter and $\hat{n}$ is the direction of the light ray. Since the mirror is in $\vec{r} = 0$, then $\rho = r_1$ and $\hat{n} = -\hat{r}_1 + \vec{\epsilon}$, where ϵ is the angle between $-\vec{r}_1$ and $\hat{n}$ at the source, forming a cone. The proper distance is determined by the tri-dimensional metric, given by (See **Appendix A**):

$$\begin{aligned} dl^2 &= \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j \\ &= \tilde{R}^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \end{aligned}$$

in the cosmological case. Then $b = \tilde{R}(t_0)r_1\epsilon$ and the solid angle of the cone is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Omega &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\epsilon} \sin(\theta)d\theta = 2\pi(1-\cos(\epsilon)) \\ &= \pi\epsilon^2 = \frac{A}{r_1^2\tilde{R}^2(t_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \pi b^2$ is the proper area of the mirror. This means that $\epsilon = \frac{b}{r_1\tilde{R}(t_0)}$. So, the fraction of all isotropically emitted photons that reach the mirror is:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{\Delta\Omega}{4\pi} \\ &= \frac{A}{4\pi r_1^2\tilde{R}^2(t_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the apparent luminosity, l, is the received power per unit mirror area. Power is energy per unit time, so the received power is $P = \frac{h\nu_0}{\Delta t_0}f$, where $h\nu_0$ is the energy corresponding to the received photon. On the

other side, the total emitted power by the source is $L = \frac{h\nu_1}{\Delta t_1}$, where $h\nu_1$ is the energy corresponding to the emitted photon. Therefore, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{\tilde{R}^2(t_1)}{\tilde{R}^2(t_0)} Lf \\ l &= \frac{P}{A} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{R}^2(t_1)}{\tilde{R}^2(t_0)} \frac{L}{4\pi r_1^2 \tilde{R}^2(t_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\frac{\Delta t_0}{\Delta t_1} = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_0} = \frac{\tilde{R}(t_0)}{\tilde{R}(t_1)}$. Besides, we know that, in an Euclidean space, the luminosity decreases with distance d_L according to $l = \frac{L}{4\pi d_L^2}$. Therefore, using (166), the luminosity distance is:

$$\begin{aligned} d_L &= \frac{\tilde{R}^2(t_0)}{\tilde{R}(t_1)} r_1 \\ &= c \frac{\tilde{R}^2(t_0)}{\tilde{R}(t_1)} \int_{t_1}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{\tilde{R}(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{171}$$

On the other side, we can define the angular diameter distance, d_A. If we consider a light ray emitted at time t_1 and moving in the θ coordinate, our null geodesic, given by (73), tells us that the proper distance is $s = ct_1 = \tilde{R}(t_1) r_1 \theta$. The angular diameter distance is defined by $\theta = \frac{s}{d_A}$, so $d_A = \tilde{R}(t_1) r_1$. If we compare it with (171), we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_A &= \frac{\tilde{R}^2(t_1)}{\tilde{R}^2(t_0)} d_L \\ &= \frac{d_L}{(1+z_1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

Therefore, the relation between d_A and d_L is the same as in GR [77]. This result is important, because in other modified gravity theories this relation is not satisfied [78]. We will use d_L to analyze the supernovae data, but d_A could be useful for other phenomena. In the next sections, we will solve the equations of motion and fit the supernovae data.

6.2 Einstein's Equations:

In cosmology, the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is given by (163). Besides, by (29) and (32), we know that Einstein's equations do not change and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is conserved. Therefore, the usual cosmological solution is still valid. So, if we use $U_\mu = (c, 0, 0, 0)$, the equations for $g_{\mu\nu}$ are reduced to:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}(t)}{R(t)} \right)^2 = \frac{\kappa c^2}{3} \sum_i \rho_i(t) \tag{173}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_i(t) = -\frac{3\dot{R}(t)}{R(t)} (\rho_i(t) + p_i(t)), \tag{174}$$

with $\dot{f}(t) = \frac{df}{dt}(t)$. But, to solve (173) and (174), we need equations of state which relate $\rho_i(t)$ and $p_i(t)$, for which we take $p_i(t) = \omega_i \rho_i(t)$. Since we wish to explain dark energy with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, we will assume that in the Universe we only have non-relativistic matter (cold dark matter, baryonic matter) and radiation (photons, massless

particles). So, we will require two equations of state. For non-relativistic matter we use $p_M(t) = 0$ and for radiation $p_R(t) = \frac{1}{3}\rho_R(t)$, where we have assumed that their interaction is null. Replacing in (173) and (174) and solving them, we find the exact solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(Y) &= \rho_M(Y) + \rho_R(Y) \\
&= \frac{3H_0^2\Omega_R}{\kappa c^2 C}\frac{Y+C}{Y^4} && (175)\\
p(Y) &= \frac{1}{3}\rho_R(t) \\
&= \frac{H_0^2\Omega_R}{\kappa c^2}\frac{1}{Y^4} && (176)\\
t(Y) &= \frac{2\sqrt{C}}{3H_0\sqrt{\Omega_R}}\left(\sqrt{Y+C}(Y-2C)+2C^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) && (177)\\
Y &= \frac{R(t)}{R_0}, && (178)
\end{aligned}$$

where $t(Y)$ is the time variable, R_0 is the scale factor in the present, $C = \frac{\Omega_R}{\Omega_M}$, and Ω_R and Ω_M are the radiation and non-relativistic matter density in the present respectively, with $\Omega_M = 1 - \Omega_R$. We know that $\Omega_R \ll 1$, so $\Omega_M \sim 1$ and $C \ll 1$. We can see that it is convenient to use Y like our independent variable. By definition $Y \gg C$ describes the non-relativistic era and $Y \ll C$ describes the radiation era.

6.3 $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter:

In [59], we assumed that $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is null and we use $T_{\mu\nu} = pg_{\mu\nu} + (p+\rho)U_\mu U_\nu$ to compute $\left(\frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{g_{\mu\nu}}\right)$. This means that we assume an On-Shell expression for $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the action. All these produce that the new symmetry, the $\tilde{\delta}$ symmetry, is broken. In this paper, we will use the action in (35), where we add the possibility of $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. With this, we integrate additional degrees of freedom, because the $\tilde{\delta}$ symmetry is preserved. For this, we fixed the gauge completely to obtain a expression for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ given by (164). Therefore, the results will be different, however the explanation of the expansion of the universe will remain to be the same.

In this case, the equation of motion for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is given by (30) and (33), where $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is a new energy-momentum tensor, so we have $\tilde{\delta}$ non-relativistic matter and radiation densities, given by $\tilde{\rho}_M$ and $\tilde{\rho}_R$ respectively. So, using (175)-(177), then (30) and (33) equations are reduced to:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_\mu^T &= 0 && (179)\\
F_a(Y) &= \frac{3}{2}(2C_2 - C_1)\frac{Y}{C}\left(\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}+1}{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}-1}\right)-2\right) \\
&\quad -2C_2 + C_3\frac{Y}{C}\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1} && (180)\\
\tilde{\rho}_M(Y) &= \frac{9H_0^2\Omega_R}{2\kappa c^2 C}\frac{(C_1 - F_a(Y))}{Y^3} && (181)\\
\tilde{\rho}_R(Y) &= \frac{6H_0^2\Omega_R}{\kappa c^2}\frac{(C_2 - F_a(Y))}{Y^4}, && (182)
\end{aligned}$$

where C_1, C_2 and C_3 are integration constants. Now, if we use (180) in (165) and define $\tilde{Y} = \frac{\tilde{R}(t)}{R(t_0)}$, we can see that $\tilde{Y} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{1-2k_2C_2}{1-6k_2C_2}}Y + O(Y^2)$ when $Y \ll C$. We want that $\tilde{Y} = Y + O(Y^2)$, because we expect that $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity

explains dark energy and it is irrelevant in the early universe. For this, we must use $C_2 = 0$. Besides, we chose the other constants such that a Big-Rip is produced. That is $\tilde{Y}(Y_{Rip}) = \infty$. We need a Big-Rip to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe because we want that $\tilde{Y}$ to grow quickly when Y is bigger. In **Appendix A**, we proved that the tri-dimensional metric is positive definite until the Big-Rip is produced.

The effective scale factor is:

$$\tilde{Y}(Y, L_1, L_2, C) = Y\sqrt{\frac{1 - L_2\frac{Y}{3}\sqrt{Y+C} + L_1\frac{Y}{C}\left(\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}+1}{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}-1}\right) - 2\right)}{1 - L_2 Y\sqrt{Y+C} + 3L_1\frac{Y}{C}\left(\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}+1}{\sqrt{\frac{Y}{C}+1}-1}\right) - 2\right)}}, \tag{183}$$

where we used $C_1 = -\frac{2L_1}{3\kappa_2}$, $C_3 = -\frac{C^{\frac{3}{2}}L_2}{3\kappa_2}$ and $L_1, L_2 \sim 1$. That is because we need that $C_3 \sim C^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll 1$. If we use $C_3 = 0$, the Big-Rip is not produced and we cannot explain the expansion of the universe. Therefore, we need to consider that $1 \gg C \neq 0$. To see the Big-Rip we must analyze (183) for $Y \gg C$:

$$\tilde{Y}(Y, L_1, L_2, C) \simeq Y\sqrt{\frac{3 + 2L_1 - L_2 Y^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3(1 + 2L_1 - L_2 Y^{\frac{3}{2}})}} + O(C^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{184}$$

It is clear that the Big-Rip is produced when:

$$Y_{Rip} = \left(\frac{1 + 2L_1}{L_2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{185}$$

In summary, we have that $\tilde{Y} \sim Y$ in the radiation era, where $Y \ll C$, so the Universe evolves normally at its beginning, without differences with GR. However, in the non-relativistic matter era, where $Y \gg C$, an accelerated expansion is produced, ending in a Big-Rip when the denominator is null. We will give more details for this when we study the supernovae data.

6.4 Analysis and Results:

Before to start the data analysis, we must define the parameters of the model. In the first place, d_L in GR depends upon four parameters: Y, $H_0 = 100h$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}, Ω_M and Ω_R. However, from CMB black body spectrum we obtain the photons density in the present, Ω_γ. Now, if we assume that $\Omega_R = \Omega_\gamma + \Omega_\nu = \left(1 + 3\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)\left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3}\right)\Omega_\gamma$, we get $h^2\Omega_R = 4.15 \times 10^{-5}$. Therefore, the parameters in d_L can be reduced to three: Y, h and $h^2\Omega_M$. In the same way, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, d_L depends on four parameters: Y, C, L_1 and L_2. We will use $H_0\sqrt{\Omega_R} = 0.644$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}.

The supernovae data gives the apparent magnitude, m, as a function of redshift, z. For this reason, it is useful to use z instead of Y. The apparent magnitude is:

$$m(z) = M + 5\log_{10}\left(\frac{d_L(z)}{10 \text{ pc}}\right), \tag{186}$$

where M is the absolute magnitude, constant and common for all supernovae. The difference between GR and $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is in $d_L(z)$. In GR, we have that:

$$d_L(z) = \frac{c(1+z) \text{ Mpc s}}{100 \text{ km}} \int_{\frac{1}{1+z}}^{1} \frac{dY'}{\sqrt{h^2\Omega_\Lambda Y'^4 + h^2\Omega_M Y' + h^2\Omega_R}}, \tag{187}$$

with $\Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_M - \Omega_R$. From (187), we can fit the data for GR. On the other side, in our modified gravity model, the luminosity distance is given by (171). So, using the relation in (177), we have, for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, that:

$$d_L(z) \quad = \quad c(1+z)\frac{\sqrt{C}}{H_0\sqrt{\Omega_R}}\int_0^z \frac{(1+u)Y(u)Y'(u)}{\sqrt{Y(u)+C}}du, \tag{188}$$

where $Y'(z) = \frac{dY}{dz}(z)$. Besides, to find $Y(z)$ we must solve (170). That is:

$$\tilde{Y}(Y(z)) \quad = \quad \frac{\tilde{Y}_0}{1+z}, \tag{189}$$

where $\tilde{Y}_0 = \tilde{Y}(1)$ and $\tilde{Y}(Y(z))$ is given by (183). Therefore, (189) is a numerical equation.

The statistical method used to interpret errors in data is given by the variance σ in a normally distributed random variable. This means, if we are fitting a function $y(x)$ with a set of points (x_i, y_i) and errors $(\sigma_{xi}, \sigma_{yi})$, we must minimize [79]:

$$\chi^2(\text{per point}) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{(y_i - y(x_i))^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2},$$

where N is the number of data points and σ_{y_i} is the error of y_i. In our case, the data is given by (z_i, μ_i), where:

$$\mu(z) \equiv m(z) - M = 5\log_{10}\left(\frac{d_L(z)}{10\text{ pc}}\right)$$

is the distance modulus. Then:

$$\chi^2(\text{per point}) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{(\mu_i - \mu(z_i))^2}{\sigma_{\mu_i}^2}. \tag{190}$$

Now, we can proceed to analyze the data given in [80] with $N = 580$ supernovae. In both cases, GR and $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, d_L is given by an exact expression, but we need to use a numeric method to solve the integral and fit the data to determinate the optimum values for the parameters that represent the μ v/s z of the supernovae data. For this, we used mathematica 7.0 [4]. Before fitting, we must make a comment concerning $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. When we minimized (190) in this case, we saw that the fit does not depend strongly of L_1 and L_2, but they are important to fix the Big-Rip point given by (185). Probably, a parameter space for L_1 and L_2 exists, therefore we need to fix one of them. Since we do not have a criterion for this, we will keep both parameters and we will fix them with another phenomenons in a future work. So, the parameters that minimize (190) are:

In GR: $h = 0.7$ and $h^2\Omega_M = 0.136$ with $\chi^2(\text{per point}) = 0.985$.

In $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter: $L_1 = 1.565$, $L_2 = 2.262$ and $C = 1.82 \times 10^{-4}$ with $\chi^2(\text{per point}) = 0.996$.

We can see in **Figure 5** that GR and $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter fit the data very well. Now, with these values, we can compute the age of the universe and the Big-Rip era. For GR, the age of the universe is 1.367×10^{10} years. However, in our model, the time is given by (177). So, substituting the corresponding values for L_1, L_2, C and taking $Y = \frac{R(t)}{R(t_0)} = 1$, we obtain that 1.364×10^{10} years for the age of the universe. This means that the age of the universe is not modified in our model. To compute when the Big-Rip will happen, we need to use (185). That

[4] To minimize (190) we used **FindMinimum**. See the Mathematica 7.0 help for more details.



Figure 5: Distance modulus vs Redshift. We have fitted 580 supernovae to (a) GR and (b) $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, using the parameters that minimize (190).

is $Y_{Rip} = 1.826$, so $t_{\text{Big-Rip}} = 3.366 \times 10^{10}$ years. Therefore, the Universe has lived less than half of its life.

On the other side, in [59] we obtained that, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity without $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, the age of the universe is 1.92×10^{10} years and $t_{\text{Big-Rip}} = 4.3 \times 10^{10}$ years. The problem in this case is the huge age of the universe for Planck Collaboration, given by 1.381×10^{10} years[5]. However, we cannot say that this case is totally rejected yet, but the age of the universe for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is more similar to Planck.

Now, if we see the effective scale factor, given by (183), it is clear that in the limit where $C \to 0$, it is not possible to obtain a Big-Rip. Then, it is necessary to have $1 \gg C \neq 0$ to obtain an accelerated expansion of the Universe. This means that, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, a minimal component of radiation is necessary to explain the supernovae data without dark energy. In this way, the accelerated expansion of the Universe, can be understood as a geometric effect.

On the other side, using (181-182), the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in the present is given by:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{M0} \equiv \tilde{\rho}_M(1) \approx \frac{3H_0^2\Omega_R\left(L_2 - 4L_1\right)}{2\kappa\kappa_2 c^2 C} \tag{191}$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{R0} \equiv \tilde{\rho}_R(1) \approx \frac{2H_0^2\Omega_R\left(L_2 - 2L_1\right)}{\kappa\kappa_2 c^2}. \tag{192}$$

So, defining the normalize $\tilde{\delta}$ densities in the present:

$$\tilde{\Omega}_M = \left|\frac{\kappa c^2\kappa_2\tilde{\rho}_{M0}}{3H_0^2}\right|$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_R = \left|\frac{\kappa c^2\kappa_2\tilde{\rho}_{R0}}{3H_0^2}\right|,$$

we obtain:

[5]The age of the universe of Planck was calculated using the cosmological parameters obtained in [81]. That is $\Omega_M = 0.308$ and $H_0 \equiv 100h = 67.8$ km/s/Mpc.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Omega}_M &\approx \frac{|L_2 - 4L_1|}{2}\Omega_M \\ &\approx 1.999\Omega_M \qquad (193)\\ \tilde{\Omega}_R &\approx \frac{2\,|L_2 - 2L_1|}{3}\Omega_R \\ &\approx 0.579\Omega_R. \qquad (194)\end{aligned}$$

With this, we have two valid expressions to relate $\tilde{\delta}$ matter with the ordinary matter at cosmological level. However, we need to improve the estimation of L_1 and L_2 studying, for example, the CMB power spectrum.

In conclusion, we have two points of view of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. In the first one, we do not have $\tilde{\delta}$ matter and the new symmetry is broken. This computation is explained with more details in [59]. In the second one, we have $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, so the new symmetry is preserved. For this computation, we have used an action to describe a perfect fluid, given by (35). To preserve the new symmetry, it is necessary a new energy-momentum tensor, $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$, given by the equation (33). To compute this tensor, we need to use an off-shell expression to $T_{\mu\nu}$, unlike to the first case, where we used an on-shell expression. All of these differences give us different results, but the same effect, an accelerated expansion of the universe without dark energy. $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is a recent work, therefore we cannot discard any of them. We must consider both cases like two different versions of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. However, in this paper we wanted to preserve all of the symmetries.

To finish with the cosmological case, we will introduce inflation in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity in the next section. For this, we will use two inflatons, φ and $\bar{\varphi}$, given by the usual mechanism to obtain a modified $\tilde{\delta}$ theory, using (19). Then, we will study the accelerated expansion.

7 Inflation.

To study inflation we must use the cosmological solution for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, given by (163) and (164) respectively. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa\rho(t) &= 3H^2(t) && (195)\\
\kappa p(t) &= -2\dot{H}(t) - 3H^2(t) && (196)\\
\kappa\tilde{\rho}(t) &= 3H(t)\left(\dot{F}_a(t) - 3H(t)F_a(t)\right) && (197)\\
\kappa\tilde{p}(t) &= -\ddot{F}_a(t) + 3\left(2\dot{H}(t) + 3H^2(t)\right)F_a(t), && (198)
\end{aligned}$$

where $H(t) = \frac{\dot{R}(t)}{R(t)}$ is the Hubble parameter. Besides, we need state equations to solve the system. They are $p(t) = \omega(t)\rho(t)$ and $\tilde{p}(t) = \omega(t)\tilde{\rho}(t) + \tilde{\omega}(t)\rho(t)$. In a perfect fluid, $\omega(t)$ usually is assumed to be constant and $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ must be zero in that case. So, when we used these state equations in (195-198), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{H}(t) + \frac{3}{2}\left(\omega(t)+1\right)H^2(t) &= 0 && (199)\\
\ddot{F}_a(t) + 3\omega(t)H(t)\dot{F}_a(t) &= -3\tilde{\omega}(t)H^2(t). && (200)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to understand the behavior of these equations, we will solve the case where $\omega(t)$ is almost constant to be close to a usual perfect fluid. The solution is:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t) &= \begin{cases} R_0\left(t+t_0\right)^{\frac{2}{3(1+\omega)}} & \text{, with: } \omega \neq -1 \\ R_1 e^{\lambda t} & \text{, with: } \omega = -1 \end{cases}\\
F_a(t) &= \begin{cases} a_0\left(\frac{R(t)}{R_2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\omega)} + \frac{2\tilde{\omega}}{1-\omega}\ln\left(\frac{R(t)}{R_2}\right) & \text{, with: } \omega \neq 1 \\ a_1\ln\left(\frac{R(t)}{R_3}\right) - \frac{3\tilde{\omega}}{2}\ln^2\left(\frac{R(t)}{R_3}\right) & \text{, with: } \omega = 1 \end{cases}, \qquad (201)
\end{aligned}$$

where R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3, t_0, a_0 and a_1 are integration constants. From this result, we notice that $R(t)$ obey the standard power-law solution in a perfect fluid with a constant ω. However, we must remember that the dynamic of the universe in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is given by the effective scale factor, (165), that produce an accelerated expansion. This means that we have an effective Hubble parameter given by $\mathcal{H}(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{\tilde{R}}(t)}{\tilde{R}(t)}$, given by in this case (with $\tilde{\omega} = 0$):

$$\mathcal{H}(X) = \begin{cases} H(X)\left(1 + \frac{3c_2(1-\omega)X^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\omega)}}{2\left(c_1+2c_2-X^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\omega)}\right)\left(c_1-X^{\frac{3}{2}(1-\omega)}\right)}\right) & \text{, with: } \omega \neq 1 \\ H(X)\left(1 + \frac{d_2}{(d_1+2d_2-\ln(X))(d_1-\ln(X))}\right) & \text{, with: } \omega = 1, \end{cases} \qquad (202)$$

where $X = \frac{R(t)}{R_0}$ and c_1, c_2, d_1 and d_2 are integration constant. Therefore, even with a standard power-law solution, we can obtain a different behavior. Besides, the power-law solution is just for a perfect fluid. Actually, the solution of $R(t)$ with a specific model is the same solution obtained in GR, because the Einstein's equation are preserved in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, but the dynamic is affected by the effective scale factor.

Another interesting case is inflation with a single scalar field. In this case, the action is given by:

$$S_0 = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(-\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\left(\partial_\alpha\varphi\right)\left(\partial_\beta\varphi\right) - V(\varphi)\right), \qquad (203)$$

where φ is the inflaton and $V(\varphi)$ is the potential of the scalar field. In $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity we have an additional scalar field, $\tilde{\varphi}$, such that the new action is:

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\tilde{g}^{\lambda}_{\lambda}\right)g^{\alpha\beta}\left(\partial_\alpha\varphi\right)\left(\partial_\beta\varphi\right)+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\left(\partial_\alpha\varphi\right)\left(\partial_\beta\varphi\right)-\kappa_2 g^{\alpha\beta}\left(\partial_\alpha\varphi\right)\left(\partial_\beta\tilde{\varphi}\right)-\mathbf{V}(\varphi,\tilde{\varphi})\right), \quad (204)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the new inflaton and $\mathbf{V}(\varphi,\tilde{\varphi})=\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2}{2}\tilde{g}^{\lambda}_{\lambda}\right)V(\varphi)+\kappa_2 V_{,\varphi}(\varphi)\tilde{\varphi}$ is the effective potential, with $V_{,\varphi}(\varphi)=\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}(\varphi)$. In a background level $\varphi=\varphi_0(t)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}=\tilde{\varphi}_0(t)$. So, the background equations of motions are:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\varphi}_0(t)+3H(t)\dot{\varphi}_0(t)+V_{,\varphi}(\varphi_0(t)) &= 0 \quad (205)\\ \ddot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0(t)+3H(t)\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0(t)+V_{,\varphi\varphi}(\varphi_0(t))\tilde{\varphi}_0(t) &= -3F_a(t)V_{,\varphi}(\varphi_0(t)) \quad (206)\end{aligned}$$

Besides, from (204) we can obtain the energy momentum tensors, given by (60) and (61), with:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}_0^2(t)+V(\varphi_0(t))\\ p(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}_0^2(t)-V(\varphi_0(t))\\ \tilde{\rho}(t) &= \dot{\varphi}_0(t)\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0(t)-\frac{3}{2}F_a(t)\dot{\varphi}_0^2(t)+V_{,\varphi}(\varphi_0(t))\tilde{\varphi}_0(t)\\ \tilde{p}(t) &= \dot{\varphi}_0(t)\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0(t)-\frac{3}{2}F_a(t)\dot{\varphi}_0^2(t)-V_{,\varphi}(\varphi_0(t))\tilde{\varphi}_0(t).\end{aligned}$$

Then, evaluating these expressions in (195-198), we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}H^2(t) &= \frac{\kappa}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}_0^2(t)+V(\varphi_0(t))\right) \quad (207)\\ \dot{H}(t) &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\dot{\varphi}_0^2(t) \quad (208)\\ 3H(t)\dot{F}_a(t) &= \kappa\left(\dot{\varphi}_0(t)\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0(t)+3F_a(t)V(\varphi_0(t))+V_{,\varphi}(\varphi_0(t))\tilde{\varphi}_0(t)\right) \quad (209)\\ \ddot{F}_a(t)-3H(t)\dot{F}_a(t) &= -2\kappa\dot{\varphi}_0(t)\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0(t). \quad (210)\end{aligned}$$

We can prove that (205) can be obtained using (207) and (208). In the same form, (206) can be obtained using (209) and (210). However it is useful to preserve all these equations. So, our system of equations is given by (205-210).

In usual inflation the slow-roll parameters are defined. In our model we can define similar parameters. First, we have:

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}. \quad (211)$$

In second place we can define:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= -\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{H\dot{\varphi}_0} \quad (212)\\ \tilde{\eta} &= -\frac{\ddot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0}{H\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0} \quad (213)\\ \xi &= -\frac{\dot{\eta}}{H\eta} \quad (214)\\ \tilde{\xi} &= -\frac{\dot{\tilde{\eta}}}{H\tilde{\eta}}. \quad (215)\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, we have a effective scale factor given by:

$$\tilde{R}(t) = R(t)\sqrt{\frac{1+\kappa_2 F_a(t)}{1+3\kappa_2 F_a(t)}}. \tag{216}$$

An exponential growth can be produced by a divergence in $\tilde{R}(t)$. That is $F_a(t) \to -\frac{1}{3\kappa_2}$. In the last sections we fixed the parameters to produce a divergence to explain the accelerate expansion of the universe without dark energy. However, a Big-Rip is generated. For this reason, the scalar fields help us to produce a dynamic $\omega(t)$ such that we can slow down the expansion and connect with the evolution in the radiation era. To consider this expansion effect in inflation, we need to define additional parameters:

$$\bar{\epsilon}_1 = -\frac{\dot{F}_a}{HF_a} \tag{217}$$

$$\bar{\epsilon}_2 = -\frac{\ddot{F}_a}{H\dot{F}_a}. \tag{218}$$

With this, we find that:

$$\mathcal{H} = H\left(1+\frac{\kappa_2\bar{\epsilon}_1 F_a}{(1+\kappa_2 F_a)(1+3\kappa_2 F_a)}\right) \tag{219}$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{H}} = \dot{H} + 2(2+3\kappa_2 F_a)(\mathcal{H}-H)^2 - \bar{\epsilon}_2 H(\mathcal{H}-H), \tag{220}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(t) = \frac{\dot{\tilde{R}}(t)}{\tilde{R}(t)}$ is the effective Hubble parameter. This means that $\mathcal{H}$ say us how the expansion really is. Therefore, to analyze the expansion of inflation we need to define an effective expansion parameter:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\epsilon} &= -\frac{\dot{\mathcal{H}}}{H^2} \\ &= \epsilon - 2(2+3\kappa_2 F_a)\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{H}-1\right)^2 + \bar{\epsilon}_2\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{H}-1\right).\end{aligned} \tag{221}$$

In GR, inflation needs $\epsilon, \eta \ll 1$, because it must obey $V(\varphi_0(t)) \gg \dot{\varphi}_0^2(t)$ to obtain $\omega(t) = \frac{p(t)}{\rho(t)} \sim -1$, such that the expansion is exponential (See equation (201)), and inflation ends when one of them is closed to 1. For this reason these parameters are called slow-rolling. However, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity the accelerate expansion can be produced by a divergence in $\tilde{R}(t)$, just like we explained dark energy in last sections. For this reason, we need $\bar{\epsilon} \ll 1$ to guarantee inflation.

Then, our system of equations with all these definitions can be rewritten like:

$$\kappa V = (3-\epsilon)H^2 \tag{222}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{\kappa\dot{\varphi}_0^2}{2H^2} \tag{223}$$

$$\eta = 3 + \frac{V_{,\varphi_0}}{H\dot{\varphi}_0} \tag{224}$$

$$\tilde{\eta} = 3 - \frac{2\left((\epsilon+\eta)(3-\eta)-\eta\xi\right)\left(3(3-\epsilon)+\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_1}{2}(3-\bar{\epsilon}_2)\right)}{\bar{\epsilon}_1(3+\bar{\epsilon}_2)(3-\eta)} + \frac{12(3-\eta)\epsilon}{\bar{\epsilon}_1(3+\bar{\epsilon}_2)} \tag{225}$$

$$\left(3(3-\epsilon)+\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_1}{2}(3-\bar{\epsilon}_2)\right)F_a = 2\epsilon H(3-\eta)\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0} \tag{226}$$

$$\bar{\epsilon}_1(3+\bar{\epsilon}_2)F_a = -\frac{4\epsilon\dot{\tilde{\varphi}}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}. \tag{227}$$

We said that $\bar{\epsilon} \ll 1$ is necessary to guarantee inflation. From (219), we can see that $\mathcal{H} \to H$ when $\bar{\epsilon}_1 \to 0$, so the $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity effect is negligible. Besides in this case, from (221) and (227), we obtain that $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \to 0$ respectively. Therefore, if $\bar{\epsilon}_1 \ll 1$, the expansion of inflation is slow-rolling. Otherwise the $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity effect rules the expansion. However, we must take into account that in some cases the expansion could be dominated by both effects. To elaborate this, it is necessary define a potential. In the same way as GR, we can use a many types of them, like quadratic or quartic potential or another more exotic potentials like exponential or oscillatory models, just like another modified theories (For instance, see [82]-[84]). The analysis to understand how these potential work in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is in progress now.

Finally, we can try to quantify the amount of inflation. We know that it is given by the number of e-fold [85]. In our case, the expansion rate is $\mathcal{H}(t)$, so:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \mathcal{H}(t) dt \\ &= \ln\left(\frac{\tilde{R}_f}{\tilde{R}_i}\right) \qquad (228) \\ \tilde{R}_f &= e^N \tilde{R}_i, \qquad (229) \end{aligned}$$

where i and f represent some initial and final moments of inflation, so the universe has expanded by e^N times. Besides, at the beginning of inflation, when the causal communication has been established such that all the universe have the same temperature, the length scale $\lambda(t_0)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(t_0) &= \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \frac{\tilde{R}_i}{\tilde{R}_0} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \frac{\tilde{R}_f}{\tilde{R}_0} \frac{\tilde{R}_i}{\tilde{R}_f} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \frac{T_0}{T_f} e^{-N}, \qquad (230) \end{aligned}$$

where we used $T \propto \tilde{R}^{-1}$ (See **Appendix A**). The effective Hubble horizon during inflation, $\mathcal{H}_I^{-1}$, must be bigger than $\lambda(t_0)$, so:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \frac{T_0}{T_f} e^{-N} &< \mathcal{H}_I^{-1} \\ N > \ln\left(\frac{T_0}{\mathcal{H}_0}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{T_f}{\mathcal{H}_I}\right) &\sim 67 - \ln\left(\frac{T_f}{\mathcal{H}_I}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0 \sim 10^{-42}$ GeV and $T_0 \sim 10^{-13}$ GeV are the standard values for this era. Now, if $\ln\left(\frac{T_f}{\mathcal{H}_I}\right) \sim O(1)$, then:

$$N \gtrsim 60. \qquad (231)$$

The same result is obtained because $\tilde{R}(t)$ is the effective scale factor, so it replaces the usual scale factor in practically all the cosmological definitions. Then, we expect the same number of e-fold, but the expansion rate is governed by $\mathcal{H}(t)$. Now, we can study the perturbative equations and obtain the power spectrum. This work is in progress.

Acknowledgements.

The work of P. González has been partially financed by Beca Doctoral Conicyt N^0 21080490, Fondecyt 1110378, Anillo ACT 1102, Anillo ACT 1122 and CONICYT Programa de Postdoctorado FONDECYT N^o 3150398. The work of J. Alfaro is partially supported by Fondecyt 1110378, Fondecyt 1150390, Anillo ACT 1102 and Anillo ACT 1417. J.A. wants to thank F. Prada and R. Wojtak for useful remarks.

Conclusions.

We have proposed a modified model of gravity. It incorporates a new field $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ that transforms correctly under general coordinate transformations and exhibits a new symmetry: the $\tilde{\delta}$ symmetry. The new action is invariant under these transformations. We call this new gravity model $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. A quantum field theory analysis of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity has been developed in [50].

In this paper, we studied $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity at a classical level. For this, we were required to set up the following three issues. First, we needed to find the equations of motion for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. One of them are Einstein's equations, which gives us $g_{\mu\nu}$, and additionally we have the equation of $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Secondly, we needed the modified action for a test particle. This action, (70), incorporates the new field $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. We obtained that a massless particle, like a photon for example, moves in a null geodesic of $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and that a massive particle is governed by the equation of motion (67). Third, we needed to fix the gauge for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. For this, we developed the extended harmonic gauge given by (244) and (245). With this basic set up, we can study several phenomena.

Firstly, we analyzed the Schwarzschild case outside matter. We found an exact solution for the equations of motion. This solution could be used to study a black hole. We used the Newtonian approximation and found the deflection of light by the Sun. To explain the experimental data, the correction must be small, such that $\tilde{\delta}$ matter is $< 1\%$ of the total mass at a solar system scale. Then the modification of $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity is not important at a solar system scale. Then, we study the perihelion precession. The exact solution is very complicated, because a fifth order equation must be solved. However even in the Newtonian approximation we can see interesting, but really small, corrections. Basically, $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity does not have important corrections to GR for low-density object like stars or planets. This means that we need to study high-density objects like black holes to observe important effects by $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. This work is in progress, but an introductory analysis is done in **Appendix A**.

Secondly, we studied the Non-Relativistic case. In the Newtonian limit, we obtained a similar expression as in GR, where we have an effective potential. This potential depends on $\rho^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}$, where the last one corresponds to $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. We found a relation between $\rho^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}$ and we used it in different density profiles to explain dark matter. We conclude the dark matter effect could be explain with a considerably less quantity of usual dark matter, considering that the principal source of this effect is $\tilde{\delta}$ dark matter. Besides, a complete calculation with multi-component Einasto profile can be done to simulate data of same galaxies. Considering all these, we can say the dark matter effect is only important when the distribution of ordinary matter is strongly variable, so the scale is not so important.

Then, we studied the cosmological case. In [58] it was shown that $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity predicts an accelerated expansion of the Universe without a cosmological constant or additional scalar fields by using an approximation valid at small redshifts. In [59], it is developed an exact expression for the cosmological luminosity distance. However, in both cases, we assumed that we do not have $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. In this paper, we found the exact solution for $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity with $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. For this, fixing the gauge for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ was necessary, using an extended harmonic gauge. We verified that $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity does not require dark energy to explain the accelerated expansion of the universe too. For this, we used the test particle action. We found that photons move on a null geodesic of $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, so a new scale factor $\tilde{R}(t)$ is defined with this effective metric. In the universe, we only have non-relativistic matter and radiation, where the solution of the equations of motion is exact and $\tilde{R}(t)$ is given by (183).

We also computed the age of the Universe and it is practically the same as in GR. On the other side, our model ends in a Big-Rip and we computed when it will happen. The universe has lived less than half of its life. Even though the Big-Rip could be seen as a problem, we have some way outs from the Big-Rip. Any mechanism that can provide masses to the massless particles in the model will be suitable, since then $C = 0$ which avoids a Big-Rip. For example, the appearance of massive photons at times close to the Big-Rip, by effects similar to superconductivity [86]. These effects could occur at very low temperatures which are common at later stages of the evolution of the Universe.

Besides, we computed the $\tilde{\delta}$ matter in the present, where we obtained that the $\tilde{\delta}$ non-relativistic matter is

very plentiful, almost twice the ordinary non-relativistic matter. This result may imply that cold dark matter is dominated by $\tilde{\delta}$ matter. To verify this, it is necessary to study the CMB power spectrum with the present model. Additionally, we have a very small quantity of $\tilde{\delta}$ radiation.

With the exact cosmological solution, we can study very early phenomenon in the Universe, for example inflation. Finally, in the last section of this paper, we introduced inflation with $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity in a background level, defining the parameters that govern the inflation era and its importance to describe inflation. The implications of inflation as well as the computation of the CMB power spectrum are in progress and will be reported elsewhere.

In this work we developed some phenomena (Expansion of the Universe, Dark Matter and the deflection of light) and we introduced a preliminary discussion of others (Black Holes and Inflation). Further tests of the model must include the computation of the CMB power spectrum, the evolution and formation of the large-scale structure in the universe. These works are in progress now.

In [52] was noted that the Hamiltonian of $\tilde{\delta}$ models are not bounded from below, such that phantoms cosmological models [53]-[57]. However, it is not clear whether this problem will persist or not in a diffeomorphism-invariant model as $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity. Phantom fields are used to explain the accelerated expansion of the Universe. However, in our model, the accelerated expansion of the Universe is produced by a small quantity of a radiation component in the Universe, not by a phantom field. Therefore, the radiation density in the present, Ω_R, have to be included in the computation in spite of $\Omega_R \ll 1$. In this context, the accelerated expansion of the Universe can be interpreted as a geometric effect.

Appendix A: Distances and time intervals.

It is important to observe that the proper time is defined in terms of massive particles.

The equation of motion for massive particles satisfies the important property of preserving the form of the proper time in a particle in free fall. Notice that in our case the quantity that is constant using the equation of motion for massive particles, derived from (67), is $g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu}$. This single out this definition of proper time and not other. So, we must define proper time using the original metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. That is:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau}\right)\left(\frac{1}{c}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\tau}\right) &= -1 \\ \Rightarrow d\tau = \frac{1}{c}\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}} &\rightarrow \sqrt{-g_{00}}dt. \end{aligned} \tag{232}$$

From here, we can see that $g_{00} < 0$. On the other side, we consider the motion of light rays along infinitesimally near trajectories, using (73) and (232), to get the three-dimensional metric (See [87]):

$$\begin{aligned} dl^2 &= \gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \frac{g_{00}}{\mathbf{g}_{00}}\left(\mathbf{g}_{ij} - \frac{\mathbf{g}_{i0}\mathbf{g}_{j0}}{\mathbf{g}_{00}}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{233}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa_2\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. To guarantee that (233) is definite positive, we need:

$$\gamma_{11} > 0, \left|\begin{array}{cc} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} \end{array}\right| > 0 \text{ and } \left|\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{13} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{23} \\ \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} & \gamma_{33} \end{array}\right| > 0. \tag{234}$$

Therefore, we measure proper time using the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, but the space geometry is determined by both tensor fields, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

For example, in cosmology, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} &= -\left(1+3\kappa_2 F_a(t)\right)c^2dt^2 + R^2(t)\left(1+\kappa_2 F_a(t)\right)\left(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2\right) \\ \rightarrow dl^2 &= R^2(t)\frac{\left(1+\kappa_2 F_a(t)\right)}{\left(1+3\kappa_2 F_a(t)\right)}\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \\ &= \tilde{R}^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \end{aligned} \tag{235}$$

This means that we have the same 3-geometry as in Einstein but replacing $R(t)$ by $\tilde{R}(t)$. Therefore, in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, $\tilde{R}(t)$ is the effective scale factor (it determines distances in the 3d geometry) and volume is given by $V \propto \tilde{R}^3(t)$. Besides, we have that the conditions in (235) are satisfied if $\tilde{R}^2(t) > 0$. This rule is broken when the Big-Rip is produced. Finally, using (232) and (233), we can find the relation between $\tilde{R}(t)$ and redshift, z, given by (170).

On the other side, using the first and second law of thermodynamics, we have that (For instance, see [88]):

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= \frac{dQ}{T} = \frac{dE+pdV}{T} \\ &= \frac{d\left(\rho V\right)+pdV}{T} \\ &= \frac{Vd\rho+\left(\rho+p\right)dV}{T} \\ &= \frac{V}{T}\frac{d\rho}{dT}dT + \frac{\rho+p}{T}dV, \end{aligned} \tag{236}$$

where ρ and p are the radiation density and pressure respectively. Using $dS = 0$ for an adiabatic expansion and replacing $p = \frac{\rho}{3}$ and $\rho \propto T^4$, we obtain:

$$\frac{dV}{V} = -3\frac{dT}{T}. \tag{237}$$

Finally, we saw that $V \propto \tilde{R}^3$ in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, then:

$$\begin{aligned} T &\propto \tilde{R}^{-1} \\ T(z) &= T_0(1+z), \end{aligned} \tag{238}$$

where we used (170).

Another important case is the Schwarzschild solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu &= -\left(A(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)\right)c^2dt^2 + \left(B(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)\right)dr^2 + r^2\left(1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)\right)\left(d\theta^2+\sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2\right) \\ \rightarrow dl^2 &= \frac{A(r)\left(B(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{B}(r)\right)}{\left(A(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)\right)}dr^2 + \frac{r^2A(r)\left(1+\kappa_2\tilde{F}(r)\right)}{\left(A(r)+\kappa_2\tilde{A}(r)\right)}\left(d\theta^2+\sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{239}$$

If we apply the conditions (234) plus $g_{00} < 0$ to (239), we obtain the rules:

$$A(r) > 0,\ f_A(r) > 0,\ f_B(r) > 0 \text{ and } f_F(r) > 0, \tag{240}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(r) &= A(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{A}(r) \\ &= 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r} - \frac{2\kappa_2 a_0\mu\,(r-\mu)}{r^2} - \kappa_2 a_1\mu\frac{2\mu+(r-\mu)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{r^2} \end{aligned} \tag{241}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_B(r) &= B(r) + \kappa_2\tilde{B}(r) \\ &= \frac{r}{r-2\mu} + \frac{2\kappa_2 a_0\mu\,(r-\mu)}{(r-2\mu)^2} - \kappa_2 a_1\frac{2\mu\,(r-2\mu)+\left(r^2-3\mu r+\mu^2\right)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{(r-2\mu)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{242}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_F(r) &= 1 + \kappa_2\tilde{F}(r) \\ &= 1 + \frac{2\kappa_2 a_0\mu}{r} - \kappa_2 a_1\frac{2\mu+(r-\mu)\ln\left(1-\frac{2\mu}{r}\right)}{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{243}$$

In General Relativity, these rules are reduced to $A(r) > 0$ and $B(r) > 0$, this means $r > 2\mu$. We can see that the rules are broken in the black hole horizon, $r_H = 2\mu$. In the same way, we can define the horizon in $\tilde{\delta}$ Gravity, but we need to fix $\kappa_2 a_0$ and $\kappa_2 a_1$ first. Motivated by the result in (102), that is $\kappa_2 a_0$ is related to $\tilde{\delta}$ matter, we think that $\kappa_2 a_0 > 0$, but bigger than (103). Just as an example, we can choose some combination:

- If $\kappa_2 a_0 = 1$ and $\kappa_2 a_1 = 1$, we have $r_H = 3.37\mu$.
- If $\kappa_2 a_0 = 1$ and $\kappa_2 a_1 = -1$, we have $r_H = 3.46\mu$.

In both cases the horizon radius is given by $f_A(r_H) = 0$. If $|\kappa_2 a_1| \gg |\kappa_2 a_0|$, then the horizon is given by $f_B(r)$ and $f_F(r)$ respectively. However, we expect that the order of $|\kappa_2 a_0|$ and $|\kappa_2 a_1|$ must be the same.

Appendix B: Harmonic Gauge.

We know that the Einstein's equations do not fix all degrees of freedom of $g_{\mu\nu}$. This means that, if $g_{\mu\nu}$ is solution, then exist other solution $g'_{\mu\nu}$ given by a general coordinate transformation $x \to x'$. We can eliminate these degrees of freedom by adopting some particular coordinate system, fixing the gauge.

One particularly convenient gauge is given by the harmonic coordinate conditions. That is:

$$\Gamma^{\mu} \equiv g^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{244}$$

Under general coordinate transformation, Γ^{μ} transform:

$$\Gamma'^{\mu} = \frac{\partial x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\Gamma^{\alpha} - g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 x'^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}\partial x^{\beta}}.$$

Therefore, if Γ^{α} does not vanish, we can define a new coordinate system x'^{μ} where $\Gamma'^{\mu} = 0$. So, it is always possible to choose an harmonic coordinate system (For more detail about harmonic gauge see, for example, [63]).

In the same form, we need to fix the gauge for $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. It is natural to choose a gauge given by:

$$\tilde{\delta}\left(\Gamma^{\mu}\right) \equiv g^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\delta}\left(\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\right) - \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{245}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}\left(\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\right) = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\lambda}\left(D_{\beta}\tilde{g}_{\lambda\alpha} + D_{\alpha}\tilde{g}_{\beta\lambda} - D_{\lambda}\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}\right)$. Then, when we will refer to harmonic gauge, we will use (244) and (245).

We will use this gauge in all the applications in this paper.

References

[1] C. M. Will, *The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment*, Living Rev. Relativity. Vol. 9, (2006), doi:10.12942/lrr-2006-3.

[2] Slava G. Turyshev, *Experimental Tests of General Relativity*, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, Vol. 58, Pp. 207-248, (2008), doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.58.020807.111839.

[3] G.'t Hooft and M. Veltman, *One-loop divergencies in the theory of gravitation*, Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré. Section A. Physique théorique. Tome 20, num. 1, Pp. 69-94, (1974), http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPA_1974__20_1_69_0.

[4] B. DeWitt, *Quantum Theory of Gravity. I. The Canonical Theory*, Physical Review, Vol. 160, Issue 5, Pp. 1113-1148, (1967), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.160.1113.

[5] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Super String Theory*, Vols. 1,2 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1987).

[6] J. Polchinski, *Super String Theory*, Vols. 1,2 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).

[7] See for instance: C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2007) and references therein.

[8] M. T. Grisaru, P. van Nieuwenhuizen and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *One-Loop Renormalizability of Pure Supergravity and of Maxwell-Einstein Theory in Extended Supergravity*, Physical Review Letters, Vol 37, Issue 25, Pp. 1662-1666, (1976), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.37.1662.

[9] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinor and Space-Time, Spinor and Twistor Method in Space-Time Geometry*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1988).

[10] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry* (Academic Press 1994).

[11] R.A. El-Nabulsi, *From classical to discrete gravity through exponential non-standard Lagrangians in general relativity*, Mathematics 3, 727-745 (2015), doi:10.3390/math3030727.

[12] J.F. Donogh and B.K. El-Menoufi, *Nonlocal quantum effects in cosmology: Quantum memory, nonlocal FLRW equations, and singularity avoidance*, Phys. Rev. D 89, 104062 (2014), doi:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.104062.

[13] P. Singh, *Loop quantum cosmology and the fate of cosmological singularities*, Bull. Astron. Soc.India 42, 121 (2014), doi:http://www.astron-soc.in/bulletin/14SepDec/121422014(2).pdf.

[14] A. Bosma, Ph.D. thesis, Groningen University (1978) Bib. Code: 1978PhDT.....195B.

[15] A. Bosma and P.C. van der Kruit, *The local mass-to-light ratio in spiral galaxies*, Astronomy and Astrophysics, Vol. 79, Number 3, Pp. 281-286, (1979), Bib. Code: 1979A&A....79..281B.

[16] V. C. Rubin, W. K. Jr. Ford and N. Thonnard, *Rotational properties of 21 SC galaxies with a large range of luminosities and radii, from NGC 4605 /R = 4kpc/ to UGC 2885 /R = 122 kpc/*, Astrophysical Journal, Part 1, Vol. 238, Pp. 471-487, (1980), doi:10.1086/158003.

[17] P. Salucci, and G. Gentile, *Comment on "Scalar-tensor gravity coupled to a global monopole and flat rotation curves"*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 73, Issue 12, 128501, (2006), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.128501.

[18] P. Salucci, A. Lapi, C. Tonini, G. Gentile, I. A. Yegorova, and U. Klein, *The universal rotation curve of spiral galaxies II. The dark matter distribution out to the virial radius*, MNRAS, Vol. 378, Issue 1, Pp. 41-47 (2007), doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11696.x.

[19] M. Persic, and P. Salucci, *The universal galaxy rotation curve*, Astrophysical Journal, Part 1, Vol. 368, Pp. 60-65, (1991), doi:10.1086/169670.

[20] M. Persic, and P. Salucci, *Dark and visible matter in spiral galaxies*, MNRAS, Vol. 234, Issue 1, Pp. 131-154, (1988), doi:10.1093/mnras/234.1.131.

[21] K. M. Ashman, *Dark matter in galaxies*, Astronomical Society of the Pacific, Vol. 104, Number 682, Pp. 1109-1138, (1992), doi:10.1086/133099.

[22] D. Hooper and E.A. Baltz, *Strategies for Determining the Nature of Dark Matter*, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, Vol. 58, Pp. 293-314, (2008), doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.58.110707.171217.

[23] A.G. Riess, et al., *Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant*, The Astronomical Journal, Vol. 116, Number 3, Pp. 1009, (1998), doi:10.1086/300499.

[24] S. Perlmutter, et al., *Measurements of* Ω *and* Λ *from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae*, ApJ, Vol. 517, Number 2, Pp. 565, (1999), doi:10.1086/307221.

[25] R.R. Caldwell and M. Kamionkowski, *The Physics of Cosmic Acceleration*, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, Vol. 59, Pp. 397-429, (2009), doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-010709-151330.

[26] J.A. Frieman, M.S. Turner and D. Huterer, *Dark Energy and the Accelerating Universe*, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Vol. 46, Pp. 385-432, (2008), doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145243.

[27] M. Milgrom, *A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis*, Astrophysical Journal, Part 1, Vol. 270, Pp. 365-370, (1983), doi:10.1086/161130. Research supported by the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

[28] J. Bekenstein, *Relativistic gravitation theory for the modified Newtonian dynamics paradigm*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 70, 083509, (2004), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.083509.

[29] J. Martin, *Everything you always wanted to know about the cosmological constant problem (but were afraid to ask)*, Comptes Rendus Physique, Vol. 13, Issue 6, Pp. 566-665, (2012), doi:10.1016/j.crhy.2012.04.008.

[30] A. Albrecht, et al., *Report of the Dark Energy Task Force*, (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0609591.

[31] J.A. Peacock, et al., *Report by the ESA-ESO Working Group on Fundamental Cosmology*, ESA-ESO Working Groups Report No. 3, (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0610906.

[32] S. Tsujikawa, *Modified Gravity Models of Dark Energy*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 800, Pp. 99-145, (2010), doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-10598-2_3.

[33] S. Weinberg, *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, Chap. 16, Pp. 790. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1979).

[34] Ya.B. Zeldovich, *Cosmological Constant and Elementary Particles*, JETP Letters, Vol. 6, Issue 9, Pp. 316-317, (1967); Soviet Physics Uspekhi, Vol. 11, Pp. 381, (1968). http://www.jetpletters.ac.ru/ps/1673/article_25521.shtml.

[35] A.D. Sakharov, *Vacuum quantum fluctuations in curved space and the theory of gravitation*, Sov.Phys.Dokl, Vol. 12, Pp. 1040-1041, (1968); Dokl.Akad.Nauk Ser.Fiz, Vol. 177, Pp. 70-71, (1967); Sov.Phys.Usp, Vol. 34, 394, (1991); Gen.Rel.Grav, Vol. 32, Pp. 365-367, (2000). https://inspirehep.net/record/51356?ln=es.

[36] D.F. Litim, *Fixed Points of Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett, Vol. 92, 201301, (2004), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.201301.

[37] D.F. Litim, *Universality and the renormalisation group*, JHEP07(2005)005, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2005/07/005.

[38] A. Codello, R. Percacci and C. Rahmede, *Investigating the ultraviolet properties of gravity with a Wilsonian renormalization group equation*, Annals of Physics, Vol. 324, Issue 2, Pp. 414469, (2009), doi:10.1016/j.aop.2008.08.008.

[39] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, *Functional Renormalization Group Equations, Asymptotic Safety, and Quantum Einstein Gravity*, (2007), arXiv:0708.1317.

[40] J. Ambjorn, J. Jurkiewicz and R. Loll, *Nonperturbative Lorentzian Path Integral for Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett, Vol. 85, Issue 5, 924, (2000), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.924.

[41] J. Alfaro, D. Espriu and D. Puigdomenech, *Emergence of geometry: A two-dimensional toy model*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 82, Issue 4, 045018, (2010), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.045018.

[42] J. Alfaro, D. Espriu and D. Puigdomenech, *Spontaneous generation of geometry in four dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 86, Issue 2, 025015, (2012), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.025015.

[43] C.J. Isham, A. Salam and J.A. Strathdee, *Nonlinear realizations of space-time symmetries. Scalar and tensor gravity*, Annals of Physics, Vol. 62, Issue 1, Pp. 98119, (1971), doi:10.1016/0003-4916(71)90269-7.

[44] A.B. Borisov and V.I. Ogievetsky, *Theory of dynamical affine and conformal symmetries as the theory of the gravitational field*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, Vol. 21, Issue 3, pag 1179-1188, (1974); Teor.Mat.Fiz, Vol. 21, Pp. 329-342, (1974). doi:10.1007/BF01038096.

[45] E.A. Ivanov and V.I. Ogievetsky, *Gauge theories as theories of spontaneous breakdown*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1, Issue 4, Pp. 309-313, (1976), doi:10.1007/BF00398486.

[46] D. Amati and J. Russo, *Symmetry restoration in spontaneously broken induced gravity*, Physics Letters B, Vol. 248, Issues 12, Pp. 4450, (1990), doi:10.1016/0370-2693(90)90012-U.

[47] J. Russo, *2D induced quantum gravity and topological phase of the Thirring model*, Physics Letters B, Vol. 254, Issues 12, Pp. 6165, (1991), doi:10.1016/0370-2693(91)90396-8.

[48] A. Hebecker and C. Wetterich, *Spinor gravity*, Physics Letters B, Vol. 574, Issues 34, Pp. 269275, (2003), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2003.09.010.

[49] C. Wetterich, *Gravity from spinors*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 70, 105004, (2004), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.105004.

[50] J. Alfaro, P. González and R. Ávila, *A finite quantum gravity field theory model*, Class. Quantum Grav, Vol. 28, 215020, (2011), doi:10.1088/0264-9381/28/21/215020.

[51] J. Alfaro, Bv Gauge Theories, (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9702060.

[52] J. Alfaro and P. Labraña, *Semiclassical gauge theories*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 65, 045002, (2002), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.045002.

[53] R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N.N. Weinberg, *Phantom Energy: Dark Energy with $\omega < -1$ Causes a Cosmic Doomsday*, Physical Review Letters, Vol. 91, Issue 7, 071301, (2003), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.071301.

[54] R.R. Caldwell, *A phantom menace? Cosmological consequences of a dark energy component with super-negative equation of state*, Physics Letters B, Vol. 545, Issues 1-2, Pp. 2329, (2002), doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02589-3.

[55] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Quantum de Sitter cosmology and phantom matter*, Physics Letters B, Vol. 562, Issues 3-4, Pp. 147-152, (2003), doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00594-X.

[56] J. M. Cline, S. Jeon and G. D. Moore, Physical Review D, *The phantom menaced: Constraints on low-energy effective ghosts*, Vol. 70, 043543, (2004), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043543.

[57] G. W. Gibbons, DAMTP-2003-19, (2008), arXiv:hep-th/0302199v1.

[58] J. Alfaro, *Delta-gravity and dark energy*, Physics Letters B, Vol. 709, Issues 1-2, Pp. 101-105, (2012), doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.067.

[59] J. Alfaro and P. González, *Cosmology in delta-gravity*, Class. Quantum Grav, Vol. 30, 085002, (2013), doi:10.1088/0264-9381/30/8/085002.

[60] See Appendix A from: J. Alfaro, *Delta-gravity, Dark Energy and the accelerated expansion of the Universe*, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser, Vol. 384, 012027, (2012), doi:10.1088/1742-6596/384/1/012027.

[61] J. R. Ray, *Lagrangian Density for Perfect Fluids in General Relativity*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 13, Issue 10, 1451-1453, (1972), doi:10.1063/1.1665861.

[62] W. Siegel, *Fields*, Section IIIB, (2005), arXiv:hep-th/9912205.

[63] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1972). See Section 7.4, Chapter 8 and Chapter 9.

[64] E.B. Formalont and R.A. Sramek, *Measurements of the Solar Gravitational Deflection of Radio Waves in Agreement with General Relativity*, Physical Review Letter, Vol. 36, 1475, (1976), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.36.1475.

[65] N. P. Pitjev and E. V. Pitjeva, *Constraints on Dark Matter in the Solar System*, Astron. Lett. (2013) 39: 141. doi:10.1134/S1063773713020060.

[66] McGaugh,S.,Lelli,F. and Schombert,J.,"Radial Acceleration Relation in Rotationally Supported Galaxies",PRL 117, 201101 (2016).

[67] Karukes,E. and Salucci,P.,"The universal rotation curve of dwarf disk galaxies", MNRAS (March 11, 2017) 465 (4): 4703-4722.

[68] J. Einasto, *On Galactic Descriptive Functions*, Astronomische Nachrichten, Vol. 291, Issue 3, Pp. 97, (1969a), Bib. Code: 1969AN....291...97E.

[69] B.K. Dhar, L.L.R. Williams, *Surface brightness and intrinsic luminosity of ellipticals*, MNRAS, Vol. 427, Issue 1, Pp. 204-244, (2012), doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20370.x.

[70] E. Retana-Montenegro, et al., Analytical properties of Einasto dark matter haloes, Astronomy and Astrophysics, Vol. 540, Number A70, (2012), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118543 .

[71] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. D. M. White, *The Structure of Cold Dark Matter Halos*, Astrophysical Journal, Vol. 462, Pp. 563, (1996), doi:10.1086/177173.

[72] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. D. M. White, *A Universal Density Profile from Hierarchical Clustering*, ApJ, Vol.490, Pp. 493, (1997), doi:10.1086/304888.

[73] T. Daylan, et al., *The Characterization of the Gamma-Ray Signal from the Central Milky Way: A Compelling Case for Annihilating Dark Matter*, FERMILAB-PUB-14-032-A, MIT-CTP-4533, (2014), arXiv:1402.6703.

[74] Charlie Conroy et al., *EVIDENCE AGAINST DARK MATTER HALOS SURROUNDING THE GLOBULAR CLUSTERS MGC1 AND NGC 2419*, ApJ, Vol. 741, Issue 2, Pp. 72, (2011), doi:10.1088/0004-637X/741/2/72.

[75] S. Jihye, K. Sungsoo and L. Young-Wook, *Dark Matter Content in Globular Cluster NGC 6397*, Journal of The Korean Astronomical Society, Vol. 46, Issue 4, Pp. 173-181, (2013), doi:10.5303/JKAS.2013.46.4.173.

[76] L. Iorio, *On the anomalous secular increase of the eccentricity of the orbit of the Moon*, MNRAS, Vol. 415, Issue 2, Pp. 1266-1275, (2011), doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18777.x.

[77] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008). See Section 1.4.

[78] R. F. L. Holanda, R. S. Goncalves and J. S. Alcaniz, *A test for cosmic distance duality*, JCAP 06(2012)022, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2012/06/022.

[79] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling and B.P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C. The Art of Scientific Computing*, Second edition. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1992).

[80] N. Suzuki, et al., *The Hubble Space Telescope Cluster Supernova Survey. V. Improving the Dark-energy Constraints above $z > 1$ and Building an Early-type-hosted Supernova Sample*, ApJ, Vol. 746, Number 1, Pp. 85, (2012), doi:10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/85.

[81] Planck Collaboration (P.A.R. Ade, et al.), *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, (2015), arXiv:1502.01589.

[82] S. Alexander, S. Cormacka and M. Gleisera, *A Cyclic Universe Approach to Fine Tuning*, Phys. Lett. B, Vol 757, 247-250 (2016), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.03.082.

[83] R.A. El-Nabulsi, *Asymptotically static universe dominated by phantom energy*, Zeitschrift fr Naturforschung A, Vol 70, Issue 2, 101-108 (2015), doi:10.1515/zna-2014-0242.

[84] K. Zhang, P. Wu and H. Yu, *Oscillating universe in massive gravity*, Phys. Rev. D, Vol 87, 063513 (2013), doi:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.063513.

[85] A. Riotto, *Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations*, hep-ph/0210162 DFPD-TH-02-22, Lectures given at Conference: C02-06-17.4, Pp.317-413, (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0210162.

[86] B. Sakita (CUNY), *Quantum Theory of Many Variable Systems and Fields*, Published by World Scientific Publising Co. Pte. Ltd. P.O. Box 128, Farrer Road, Singapore 9128. World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics. Vol 1, Chapter 5, (1985).

[87] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Fourth Revised English Edition. Course of Theoretical Physics Volume 2. Institute for Physical Problems, Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R. Translated from the Russian by Morton Hamermesh, University of Minnesota. Butterworth-Heinenann. Chapter 10.

[88] T. Padmanabhan, *Theoretical Astrophysics*, Volume III: Galaxies and Cosmology, First Edition, Chapter 4 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2002).